## **SDMS US EPA Region V**

Imagery Insert Form

**Document ID:** 

•	-
	64964
1	ひサフひサ

Some images in this document may be illegible or unavailable in SDMS. Please see reason(s) indicated below:

	Specify Type of Document(s) / Comments:
Unless of	s COLOR or RESOLUTION variations. otherwise noted, these pages are available in monochrome. The source document page(s) is more legible tha The original document is available for viewing at the Superfund Records Center.
	Specify Type of Document(s) / Comments:
This do	ential Business Information (CBI).  cument contains highly sensitive information. Due to confidentiality, materials with such information are noted in the EPA Superfund Records Manager if you wish to view this document.
	Specify Type of Document(s) / Comments:
	nable Material:
Due to	zed X or Format. certain scanning equipment capability limitations, the document page(s) is not available in SDMS. The original is available for viewing at the Superfund Records center.
	Specify Type of Document(s) / Comments:
15 M	aps - Soil Maps; Cross Section Maps; Water Table Maps - Drawings F1 - F15
Docum	nent is available at the EPA Region 5 Records Center.

Rev. 07/10/02

#### **VOLUME I OF II**

## REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION/FEASIBILITY STUDY TECHNICAL MEMORANDUM 2

BELOIT CORPORATION BLACKHAWK FACILITY ROCKTON, ILLINOIS

**MAY 1995** 

PREPARED FOR:
BELOIT CORPORATION
ROCKTON, ILLINOIS

. . .

PREPARED BY:
MONTGOMERY WATSON AMERICAS, INC.
MADISON, WISCONSIN

PROJECT NO. 3856.0070



## REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION/FEASIBILITY STUDY TECHNICAL MEMORANDUM 2

BELOIT CORPORATION BLACKHAWK FACILITY ROCKTON, ILLINOIS

**MAY 1995** 

John A. Hurtenbach

Project Chemist

R. Jeff Ramsby

Project Hydrogeologist

Kenneth J. Quinn, CPG

Project Manager

## TABLE OF CONTENTS TECHNICAL MEMORANDUM 2

## **VOLUME I OF II**

1

INTRODUCTION		1-1
	Background	
<u>-</u>	ion	
1.5 Roport Organizati		······································
	_	
	2	
FINDINGS AND PHY	YSICAL CHARACTERISTICS OF THE SI	ΓF 2-1
	rels	
	Conductivity	
	ater Gradients	
	Horizontal Flow	
	Vertical Flow	
	on Well WW441E	
	3	
CHEMICAI CHADA	CTERIZATION	3.1
= :	alysis	
1 0	Areas	
	nd Sampling	
	Sand Disposal Area (FSDA)	
•	Surface Soils	
	Soil Borings	
	Groundwater	
	ludge Spreading Area (FSSA)	
	Surface Soils	
	Groundwater	
	ard Area (SYA)	
	Soil Gas	

	3.2.4.2 Surface Soil Samples	3-4
	3.2.4.3 Soil Borings	
	3.2.4.4 Groundwater Quality Boring	
	3.2.4.5 Groundwater	
3.2.5	Beloit Corporation Plant (BCP)	
	3.2.5.1 Erection Bay	
	3.2.5.2 Chip Pad	
	3.2.5.3 Former Dry Well Area	
	3.2.5.4 Former Loading Dock Area	
	3.2.5.5 Paint Room	
	3.2.5.6 Weldery	
3.3 Round	1 2 Sampling	
	Background Sampling	
	Shallow Wells	
	Intermediate Wells	
	Deep Wells	
	Historical VOC Concentrations	
3.3.3	TUSIONICAL VOC CODUCEMERATIONS	. 3-11
	$oldsymbol{arLambda}$	
	<b>T</b>	
OLD 40 4 A D SZ	OF PRIDRIOS	
	OF FINDINGS	
	nary of Findings	
	Geology	
	Hydrogeology	
	Potential Source Areas	
4.1.4	Source Characterization and Distribution	4-3
	LIST OF TABLES	
Table 2-1	Summary of Grain Size Analysis	
Table 2-1	Water Level Summary	
Table 2-2	Well Information Form	
Table 2-4	Summary of Hydraulic Conductivities	
Table 2-5	Vertical Gradient Calculations	
Table 3-1	Results for Field Volatile Organics Screening - Soil Gas	
Table 3-1	Results for Field Volatile Organics Screening - Soil Borings	
Table 3-2 Table 3-3	Summary of Organic Compounds Detected in Soil Boring Samples	
Table 3-4	Summary of Metals Detected in Soil Boring Samples	
Table 3-5	Results for Field Volatile Organics Screening - Groundwater Quality Borings	
Table 3-5	Summary of Organic Compounds Detected in Groundwater Quality Boring Samples	
	Summary of Organic Compounds Detected in Groundwater Quanty Boring Samples  Summary of Organic Compounds Detected in Surface Soil Samples	
Table 3-7 Table 3-8	Summary of Metals Detected in Surface Soil Samples	
	•	
Table 3-9	Summary of Organic Compounds Detected in Groundwater	
Table 3-10	Summary of Metals Detected in Groundwater Summary of Indicator Personators Detected in Groundwater	
Table 3-11	Summary of Indicator Parameters Detected in Groundwater  Parameter Special Companies Applyage Phase I & H.	
Table 3-12	Results for Private Well Volatile Organics Analyses - Phase I & II	
Table C7	Total Organic Carbon Analysis Results	

#### **LIST OF DRAWINGS**

Drawing A1	Site Location Map
Drawing F1	Site Features, Soil Gas PCE/TCE Detections, Soil Boring, and Groundwater Quality Boring
	PCE/TCE/Total VOCs Detections, and Locations away from the Beloit Corporation Plant Map
Drawing F2	Soil Gas PCE/TCE Detections, Soil Boring and Groundwater Quality Boring PCE/TCE/Total
	VOC Detections, and Locations in and around the Beloit Corporation Plant Map
Drawing F3	Geologic Cross Section A-A', B-B'
Drawing F4	Geologic Cross Section C-C', D-D'
Drawing F5	Geologic Cross Section E-E', F-F, G-G'
Drawing F6	Top of Clay Map
Drawing F7	Water Table Map (11-12-92)
Drawing F8	Water Table Map (03-09-93)
Drawing F9	Water Table Map (05-26-93)
Drawing F10	Water Table Map (08-12-93)
Drawing F11	Water Table Map (01-24-94)
Drawing F12	Water Table Map (06-03-94)
Drawing F13	Water Table Map (06-22-94)
Drawing F14	Water Table Map (08-26-94)
Drawing F15	Water Table Map (10-28-94)
Drawing F16	Water Table Map (12-30-94)

### **VOLUME II OF II**

#### LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix A	Summary of Work Performed			
Appendix B	Soil Boring Logs			
	B1 Important Information About Your Geotechnical Engineering Report, General Notes, Unified Soil Classification System (USCS)			
	B2 Soil Borings (SB22 to SB38)			
	B3 Water Quality Borings and Geotechnical Boring			
	B4 W37 and W38			
	B5 Replacement Wells			
Appendix C	Analytical Results			
	C1 Data Quality Summary			
	C2 Summary of Organic CRQLs, PQLs and MDLs			
	C3 Summary of Organic Sample Correction Factors			
	C4 Soil Boring Analytical Results			
	C5 Groundwater Analytical Results			
	C6 Surface Soil Analytical Results			
	C7 Total Organic Carbon Analytical Results			
	C8 Private Well Analytical Results			
Appendix D	Borehole Geophysics			
Appendix E	Abandonment Documentation			
	E1 Existing Wells			
	E2 Soil Borings			
	E3 Groundwater Quality Borings			
Appendix F	Well Construction Documentation			
Appendix G	Well Development Forms			
Appendix H	Hydraulic Conductivity Testing Results			
	H1 Baildown Hydraulic Conductivity Test Methods			
	H2 Output from AQTESOLV® Baildown Analysis Program			
Appendix I	Geotechnical Testing Results			
	II Grain Size Distribution Results			
	I2 Vertical Permeability Results			

### INTRODUCTION

Technical Memorandum 2 (Tech Memo 2) describes the performance and findings of Phase 2 of the Remedial Investigation (RI). Data collected prior to initiation of the RI have been summarized in various technical documents and data collected during Phase 1 of the RI is summarized in Tech Memo 1. Source characterization, contaminant characterization and migration pathways for the site relies on data collected as part of the Phase 2, Phase 1, and earlier studies.

Section 1 provides information regarding the authorization, background, purpose, and objectives of this report. In addition, the scope of work performed for this investigation is outlined and the organization of the report is presented.

#### 1.1 AUTHORIZATION AND BACKGROUND

This Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study (RI/FS) is being conducted on behalf of Beloit Corporation by Montgomery Watson Americas, Inc. (Montgomery Watson), formerly known as Warzyn Inc. (Warzyn). Supporting data and documentation, prior to an October 3, 1994 merger between Montgomery Watson and Warzyn, included as part of Tech Memo 2, references work performed by Warzyn.

The RI/FS activities are being performed pursuant to the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liabilities Act (CERCLA) of 1980, as amended by Superfund Amendments and Reauthorization Act (SARA) (U.S. EPA), as required by the Consent Decree (Civil Action No. 91 C 20137) dated May 8, 1991, and per the approved Illinois Environmental Protection Agency (IEPA) scope of work outlined in the site planning documents dated May 1994 (Warzyn, 1994) which includes the Quality Assurance Project Plan (QAPP) and the Health and Safety Plan (HSP). Oversight of the RI/FS is presently being conducted by Ecology and Environment, Inc. on behalf of the IEPA.

CERCLA requires that if there is a release or a substantial threat of release into the environment of substances (in conjunction with the U.S. EPA Hazard Rank Scoring System), pollutants or contaminants from a site, a preliminary determination be made as to whether the site presents, or may present, a threat to the public health or the environment. If additional action is determined to be warranted by the U.S. EPA, the agency places the site on the National Priorities List (NPL) of hazardous waste sites. Additional investigative work is then undertaken at NPL sites to identify source areas, determine potential problems, develop and evaluate possible remedial alternatives and select a remedial action based on the evaluation.

#### 1.2 SCOPE OF WORK

The Work Plan (Warzyn 1994) for Phase 2 of the RI specified a sequenced approach to the investigation. The primary objectives of the Phase 2 Investigation include:

- Identification and delineation of potential volatile organic compound (VOC) source areas in and around the Beloit Corporation Plant (BCP).
- Evaluation of the Storage Yard Area (SYA) as being a VOC source area.
- Further evaluation of the Foundry Sand Disposal Area (FSDA) as being a Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbon (PAH) source area.

The field work completed as part of the approved Work Plan to achieve the Phase 2 objectives included the following activities and contingency sampling as outlined below:

#### Source Characterization:

- Soil gas survey, which included SG300 through SG381, in and around the BCP, SYA, and Fibrous Sludge Spreading Area (FSSA). Soil gas locations SG354 through 381 were contingency samples (i.e., exceeding the minimum number specified in the Work Plan).
- Drilling and soil sampling 17 shallow soil borings (SB22 through SB38) and collecting groundwater grab samples at the water table in all borings except SB31 (which did not extend to the water table) and SB35. This activity included installation of three water table monitoring wells in soil borings SB23 (W40), SB24 (W39), and SB26 (W41). Soil borings SB31 through SB38 were contingency locations.
- Drilling and vertical sampling of seven groundwater quality borings (W30C through W36C), which included the installation of four groundwater monitoring wells (W31C, W32, W34, and W35C).
- Abandonment and replacement of three existing monitoring wells (W1 (W1R), W12 (W12R), and W4 (W26)).

al Memorandum 2

- Installation of two staff gauges (SG6 and SG7).
- Monitoring well development.
- Location and elevation survey of newly installed monitoring wells and staff gauges and an elevation survey of all existing site monitoring wells.
- Groundwater level measurements.
- Hydraulic conductivity testing and analysis.
- Surface soil sampling in the FSDA, FSSA, SYA and collection of five background surface soil samples.

#### Contaminant Characterization:

- Screening eighty-two soil gas samples using a field GC, plus nine duplicate samples and one equipment blank sample.
- Screening eighty-one soil samples using a field GC and submitting selected samples for laboratory analysis.
- Screening fifteen water table grab samples, collected from soil borings, using a field GC.
- Forty groundwater samples were collected in a vertical sequence, during drilling of seven groundwater quality borings, and screened using a field GC. Three samples were submitted from W36C for CLP laboratory analysis.
- Groundwater sampling and analysis (Round 2).

#### 1.3 REPORT ORGANIZATION

Tech Memo 2 consists of two volumes, which may be referenced by their respective contents, as follows:

Volume I Text with Tables and Drawings Volume II Appendices A through I

A brief description of the contents of each section of this tech memo is as follows:

- Section 2 Presents a summary of the findings and physical characteristics of the site.
- Section 3 Presents a summary of the analytical results from sampling at the site.
- Section 4 Presents a summary of findings from the data collected during Phase 2 of the RI.

J:\3856\0070\WP\RPT\999\_SEC1.WPD

## FINDINGS AND PHYSICAL CHARACTERISTICS OF THE SITE

Physical characteristics such as topography, surface features, and soils were presented in Section 5 of Tech Memo 1. Physical characteristics of site geology and hydrogeology, using data collected during Phase 1 and Phase 2 of the RI, are presented in this section to describe the local setting and potential source areas.

Methods of work performed are summarized in Appendix A. Methods summarized with supporting documentation in Appendices include the following topics:

- Soil Boring Logs (Appendix B)
- Analytical Results (Appendix C)
- Borehole Geophysics (Appendix D)
- Abandonments (Appendix E)
- Well Construction (Appendix F)
- Well Development (Appendix G)
- Hydraulic Conductivity Testing (Appendix H)
- Geotechnical Testing (Appendix I)

#### 2.1 GEOLOGY

The site is located over the ancestral Pecatonica/Sugar River Bedrock Valley, where it merges with the Rock River Bedrock Valley. In general, sandy-loam soils in the vicinity of the site are underlain by multiple sequences of complex glacial outwash deposits that consist primarily of stratified, fine to coarse sand, silty sand, sand and gravel, silty sand and gravel, and lacustrine clays and silts. These glacial deposits are generally between 220 and 235 ft thick and lie unconformably on the Platteville dolomite and St. Peter sandstone bedrock aquifers. Drawings F1 and F2 show soil sample locations in the SYA and FSDA, and in and around the BCP, respectively (soil boring logs are included in Appendix B). Geologic cross-sections

illustrating local subsurface geology are presented on Drawings F3 (cross-sections A-A' and B-B'), F4 (cross-sections C-C' and D-D'), and F5 (cross-sections E-E', F-F', and G-G'). Cross section location maps are included on each drawing.

Although the glacial deposits beneath the site are greater than 200 ft thick, only soils in the upper 100 ft will be discussed in this document. These glacial deposits consist of a coarse upper outwash, a fine grained middle outwash, and a coarse grained lower outwash which are bounded below by a clay deposit that appears to extend laterally beneath the site.

These four individual units are identified on the cross sections with different shading. The two coarse grained sand and sand and gravel deposits are left unshaded. The finer grained outwash (silty sand and silt soils) are shown with a light stipple pattern. The clay deposits are shown with a denser stipple pattern.

Soils in the upper 20 to 30 ft are dominated by coarse grained glacial outwash sands and gravels containing varying proportions of silt, sand, and gravel. The observed depth to the base of this surficial outwash deposit ranges from 6.5 ft in well W17 to 50.0 ft in well W27. Cross sections A-A' through G-G' show this upper outwash deposit to be uniformly distributed over the entire site except in the vicinity of well W18 and boring DB3 where the sand and gravels are shown to grade into and interstratify with fine to coarse sands. Grain-size analysis were performed on representative soil samples collected from borings conducted during Phase 2 of the RI and are summarized on Table 2-1 (laboratory results are included in Appendix I). The tests confirm the low silt and clay content of this unit (less than 10%, combined silt and clay).

The surficial outwash sand and gravel deposit is underlain by outwash deposits consisting primarily of silty fine to coarse sands containing lenses of silt and clay. The depth to the top of this fine grained unit ranges from approximately 11 ft at W33C to approximately 27 ft at W25C (DB1). Thicknesses range from approximately 13 ft at W32C to approximately 44 ft at W18. This unit is approximately 35 ft thick at the northern end of the site (GB2) and maintains its thickness to the south (W30C). The unit thins to an average of approximately 20 ft (W32C, W34C) then thickens to greater than 40 ft (W33C, W14, W18) towards the southeast. The unit thins towards the south and pinches-out at the southwestern region of the site at W19/W19B well nest.

This fine grained unit is interbedded with and underlain by a sand and, a sand and gravel outwash deposit. Depth to the base of this lower sequence of outwash deposits (defined as the top of the underlying clay - dark shading on cross sections) ranges from approximately 90 ft in boring W26C (DB4) to 56 ft in boring W33C. Cross sections A-A' through G-G' reveal that this outwash deposit extends laterally over the entire site. Grain size analyses were performed on representative soil samples collected at various depths within these deposits. The fine soil fraction is classified as SP-SM and SM. The lower sand and gravel units are classified as SP/GP with low silt and clay contents and the lower sands are classified as SP.

Underlying these glacial outwash deposits, at a depth of approximately 56 to 90 ft is a lean clay deposit. It is shown to extend laterally beneath the entire site (see cross-sections A-A' to G-G'). Although boring WW441L indicates the clay deposit may not be present, this boring was drilled for a plant production well and the drillers may not have recorded the presence of the clay at this location. Water well drillers commonly log a boring relative to the general materials drilled through rather than geologically, specifying certain soil types. The existence of the clay layer was, however, recorded at well WW441K, a short distance to the north from well WW441L.

The clay varies in thickness from approximately 10 ft at well W26C to in excess of 23 ft at W33C. The USCS classification is CL based on grain size analyses (Table 2-1). Liquid limits, generally in the range of 30 to 50, and plasticity indexes generally in the range of 20 to 30 demonstrates this soil is a relatively plastic lean clay. Rigid wall vertical permeability tests were performed on Shelby tube samples collected from borings W30C, W31C, W34C, W35C, and W36C. Permeabilities ranged from 1.3x10<sup>-6</sup> cm/s (W34C) to 2.1x10<sup>-8</sup> cm/s (W35C). Permeability test results are included in Appendix I. A top of clay contour map is included in this document (Drawing F6) to illustrate the topography and lateral extent of the continuous clay layer.

#### 2.2 HYDROGEOLOGY

The shallow aquifer identified at this site is the lower fine to coarse grained outwash unit which is bounded above by the sand and gravel outwash deposits and below by the clay unit. This section describes the configuration of the water table that lies within this aquifer and how groundwater moves through this local aquifer system, both vertically and horizontally.

#### 2.2.1 Water Levels

Measurements of depth to water and calculated surface water (staff gauges) and groundwater elevations are listed in Table 2-2. Table 2-3 contains a summary of well information including top of casing elevations, state plane coordinates, and well design information (screen lengths, depth, etc.). In general, these data indicated that water levels are elevated in late spring to early summer and decline through the year to a low point generally in late fall or early winter. Water table elevations from November 12, 1992 through January 1994 have been hand drawn and digitized and plotted as Drawings F7 through F11. For water table measurements from June 3, 1994 through December 30, 1994 (Drawings F12 through F16), a multiple point interpolation method was used. Additional data points from surface topography (i.e., wetlands) and surface water elevations, were added as appropriate.

Flowlines are defined as lines perpendicular to the groundwater contours shown on the water table maps. These flowlines are oriented towards the northwest, west, southwest, south, southeast, and east from a northeast-southwest trending groundwater high typically located in the northern portion of the site. Flowlines in the vicinity of the BCRC are typically to the northwest, from the groundwater high toward the Rock River. The groundwater high typically occurs in the vicinity of the BCP, so that the flowlines beneath the BCP are somewhat divergent. Flowlines from the western portion of the BCP are toward the west or southwest. Flowlines from the eastern portion of the BCP are primarily toward the southwest and on some dates toward the southeast. Flowlines beneath the FSDA are toward the south and southwest. Flowlines beneath the FSSA are toward the south and southwest.

Water table maps show that surface water (Rock River) stage elevations are lower than the groundwater elevations in the northern region of the site and are higher than the groundwater elevations in the southern region of the site. In the central portion of the site there is a transition from groundwater elevations being higher, to being lower than surface water stage elevations. Lower heads were observed at wells W6, W21, and W38 than at staff gauges in the Rock River.

#### 2.2.2 Hydraulic Conductivity

In situ hydraulic conductivities were estimated from baildown tests conducted at 12 newly installed monitoring wells. A summary of data methods and results are contained in Appendix H. Table 2-4 is a summary of calculated hydraulic conductivities. These tests measured hydraulic conductivity in the vicinity of the well screen, and individual test results may not be representative of the entire aquifer. Data were analyzed using methods described by Bouwer and Rice (1976) and Bouwer (1989). Calculated hydraulic conductivities ranged from 2.6x10<sup>-5</sup> to 1.1x10<sup>-1</sup> cm/sec. Based on soils classified according to USCS methodology, in situ hydraulic conductivities of the more prominent lithologic types of this aquifer ranged from approximately 1x10<sup>-3</sup> cm/s for clean sands to less than 1x10<sup>-3</sup> for silts and sands with fines, and 1x10<sup>-2</sup> cm/sec for gravels, and sands and gravels.

#### 2.2.3 Groundwater Gradients

**2.2.3.1** Horizontal Flow - The water table map from water levels obtained on August 26, 1994, indicate very similar horizontal groundwater gradients as previous measurements.

**2.2.3.2** Vertical Flow - Vertical hydraulic gradients at well nests were calculated based on groundwater level measurements made on August 26, 1994 and are presented in Table 2-5.

#### 2.3 FORMER PRODUCTION WELL WW441E

WW441E was the predominant production well for the manufacturing facility for several years and is the only Beloit Corp production well screened in the shallow portion of the sand and gravel. The primary use of the well was for production water and for drinking and sanitary

uses. The pump curve for the pump installed in this well indicates it should have pumped at approximately 140 gpm. In 1985 a hour meter was installed at well WW441E. An estimate of the pumpage from well WW441E for 1985 to 1989 is based on electrical records for the time the pump was on. Prior to 1985, there was no hour meter on the wells, but a flow rate was estimated for the annual report to the state. Prior to 1977, just the total use was estimated. The following table summarizes the available information.

Well WW441E - Pumpage Estimate

	Hours/Year	Gallons/Year	Average Gallons/Day
1969	-	48,400,000*	-
1970	-	36,450,000*	-
1971	-	32,805,000*	-
1972	-	31,642,000*	•
1973	-	Not found	-
1974	-	32,805,000*	-
1975	-	27,248,000*	-
1976	-	Not found	-
1977	-	1,440,000**	-
1978	-	1,438,600**	-
1979	•	1,275,000**	-
1980	•	300,000**	-
1981	-	320,000**	-
1982	-	315,000**	-
1983	•	15,000,000(1)	•
1984	-	13,950,000	-
1985	654	5,493,600	15,051
1986	242	2,032,800	5,569
1987	4,450	37,380,000	102,411
1988	3,810	32,004,000	87,682
1989	105	882,000	2,416
Out of Comica		002,000	2,710

Out of Service in early 1989

#### General Notes:

- 1. \*= Total system flow. Estimated total annual volume of WW441G and WW441E combined. Well WW441G was lead well. No information available to separate flow from each well.
- 2. \*\* = Estimated annual volume for well WW441E

#### Footnotes:

(1) Annual volume calculated from average hour meter readings taken in 4th quarter of 1983. On October 4, 1983 well WW441E became the lead well. On October 20, 1983, the first hour meter reading was taken.

J:\3856\0070\WP\RPT\999\_SEC2.WPD

### CHEMICAL CHARACTERIZATION

This section provides a summary of the analytical results collected at the site during June and July 1994. Compounds detected and the concentrations found are discussed in relation to the site. Chemical characterization is a discussion of distributions of chemicals detected at the site. Samples were collected, analyzed and validated as required by the approved QAPP. Refer to Appendix C1 for the Data Quality Summary. Analytical results are included in Appendix C.

#### 3.1 SAMPLING AND ANALYSIS

Groundwater monitoring wells, private drinking water wells, groundwater quality borings, soil borings, surface soil and soil gas samples were collected at the site. Soil gas samples were collected from June 3 through 7, 1994. Soil gas screening results are summarized on Table 3-1. Soil boring samples were collected from June 8 through 29, 1994. Soil and groundwater grab sample screening results are summarized on Table 3-2. Analytical results are summarized on Tables 3-3 and 3-4. Groundwater quality boring samples were collected from June 26 through July 13, 1994. Screening results are summarized on Table 3-5. Analytical results are summarized on Table 3-6. Surface soil samples were collected on July 1, 13 and 14, 1994. Analytical results are summarized on Tables 3-7 and 3-8. Groundwater samples were collected from site monitoring wells from July 25 through 28, 1994. Round 2 groundwater sampling results are summarized on Tables 3-9 through 3-11. All sampling methods were performed as specified in the Quality Assurance Project Plan (QAPP) and the Field Sampling Plan (May 1994). Private well samples were collected by the IEPA on May 11 and 12, 1994. Private well sampling results for both Phase I and II are shown on Table 3-12.

Groundwater, soil boring and surface soil (except background) samples were analyzed for TCL and TAL parameters as shown in Table 2 of the Work Plan. Selected surface soil and subsurface soil boring samples were analyzed for TOC. Results are included on Table C7. Groundwater samples were also analyzed for water quality indicator parameters.

Soil samples were collected at approximately 5-ft intervals, for field GC screening, at all soil boring locations (except SB28, SB29, and SB31). Groundwater grab samples were collected at all soil boring locations (except SB31 and SB35, see Appendix A) and screened using the field GC. Groundwater samples were collected at approximately 10-ft intervals, beginning at the water table, at groundwater quality boring locations.

#### 3.2 POTENTIAL SOURCE AREAS

Several potential source areas on Beloit Corporation property were targeted for investigation during Phase 2 of the RI. This section presents a summary of analytical results relative to suspected potential source areas. The section is arranged by potential source areas as follows:

- Background Sampling
- Foundry Sand Disposal Area (FDA)
- Fibrous Sludge Spreading Area (FSSA)
- Storage Yard Area (SYA)
- Beloit Corporation Plant (BCP)

The BCP potential source areas are broken down into the following subsections:

- · Erection Bay
- · Chip Pad
- Former Dry Well
- Former Loading Dock
- Paint Room
- Weldery

#### 3.2.1 Background Sampling

Five background surface soil samples were collected, which are located outside (north and east) of the RI boundary, at locations SS12 through SS16. The samples were analyzed for TAL parameters. Background groundwater samples were collected at wells G107, W8R, W11R, and W24 which are located upgradient of the site. Samples from background wells were analyzed for TAL, TCL, and indicator parameters. Drawing F1 shows surface soil and monitoring well locations. Inorganic and indicator parameters have been used to calculate a background baseline concentrations. Analytical results for background surface soils are summarized on Table 3-8 and background groundwater sample results are summarized on Tables 3-9 through 3-11. A discussion of background water quality is included in Section 3-3. Analytical results are included in Appendix C.

Results for inorganic compounds detected in these samples are compared to site-related surface soil and groundwater sample results by comparing the mean plus two times the standard deviation of the background sample results to the site-related sample results. Metals detected in site-related samples at concentrations greater than the mean plus two times the standard

deviation of the background samples will be considered present above background. Organic analysis and indicator results will be used to identify the presence of potential upgradient source areas. The criteria used to establish a reasonable upper limit for background concentrations represents the 95th percentile value for distribution (i.e., 95% of all values should fall below this value). Organic compounds were not detected in samples collected from the background monitoring wells.

#### 3.2.2 Foundry Sand Disposal Area (FSDA)

There was no soil gas sampling proposed, therefore, no soil gas samples were collected during Phase II in the FSDA.

3.2.2.1 Surface Soils - Five surface soil samples were collected in the FSDA (SS2 through SS6). Results from TAL analysis indicate levels were elevated above calculated background levels for some compounds at some locations. The results elevated above calculated background (mean plus two standard deviations) are bolded on Table 3-8.

Results from TCL analysis indicate detections of VOCs include toluene in samples SS2 and SS3 at concentrations of 2 and 6 ug/kg, respectively. Detections of pesticide/PCB (Pest/PCBs) include aroclor 1260 in sample SS3 at a concentration of 42 ug/kg. SVOC analytical results indicate detections at all locations. Total PAHs ranged from 126 ug/kg (SS4D) (388 at SS4) to 12,845 ug/kg (SS2). A summary of organic detections is included in Table 3-7.

3.2.2.2 Soil Borings - Soil borings SB28 and SB29 were conducted on the west and east sides, respectively, of the FSDA. Samples were collected from the borings for laboratory analysis at specified depths, directly above the water table and approximately 5 ft below the water table. VOC analysis at SB28 in the sample from above the water table (sample 1, 25 ft) detected 2-butanone (8 ug/kg) and 2-hexanone (4 ug/kg). There was no sample collected from above the water table at SB29 (no recovery and spoon refusal). VOC analysis in the sample from below the water table (28 ft) detected 1,1,1-trichloroethane (2 ug/kg), and toluene (1 ug/kg). There were no Pest/PCBs detected in either boring. A summary of metals detections is included in Table 3-4.

Groundwater grab samples were collected from each boring at the water table. At SB28 1,1-DCA was BMDL and 1,2-DCA was detected at a concentration of 11 ug/L. At SB29, 1,2-DCA was detected at a concentration of 5.5 ug/L.

**3.2.2.3 Groundwater -** Well W38 was installed directly downgradient of the FSDA. A discussion of results from groundwater sampling is included in Section 3.3.

#### 3.2.3 Fibrous Sludge Spreading Area (FSSA)

There was no soil gas sampling or soil borings proposed, therefore, no soil gas samples were collected or soil borings conducted during Phase II in the FSSA.

**3.2.3.1 Surface Soils -** Five surface soil samples (SS7 through SS11) were collected during Phase II. A duplicate sample was collected at SS7 (SS7 Dup). There were no VOCs or SVOCs detected. Pest/PCBs including 4,4 -DDT (SS7 - 3.2 ug/kg and SS7 Dup - 2.3 ug/kg) and aroclor-1254 (SS10 - 100 ug/kg and SS11 - 42 ug/kg) were detected.

A summary of metals detected at levels above calculated background levels are bolded on Table 3-8.

3.2.3.2 - Groundwater - Well W26 was installed, as a replacement for W4, creating a well nest W26, W26C. Well W12R was installed as a replacement for W12. A discussion of results from groundwater sampling is included in Section 3.3.

#### 3.2.4 Storage Yard Area (SYA)

- 3.2.4.1 Soil Gas Thirteen soil gas samples were collected from locations 341 through 347 (south end of SYA) and SG340, SG355, SG356, and SG379 through SG381 (north end of SYA). There were no detections in samples SG341 through SG347. PCE was detected at SG335 (18.2 ug/L) and SG356 (10 ug/L). PCE was BMDL in samples SG340 and SG379 through SG381. Soil gas results are summarized in Table 3-1 and locations are shown on Drawings F1 and F2.
- **3.2.4.2** Surface Soil Samples Three surface soil samples (SS1, SB26, and SB27) were collected in the SYA. VOC analysis did not detect any compounds. SVOC analysis detected chrysene (47 ug/kg), fluoranthene (59 ug/kg) and pyrene (47 ug/kg) in SS01 (total PAH = 153 ug/kg). Pest/PCB analysis detected aroclor-1254 (54 ug/kg) in SB26 and aldrin (1.8 ug/kg) and aroclor-1254 (39 ug/kg) in SB27.

Metals analysis detected beryllium and potassium elevated above background levels at all sample locations. Metals detected above calculated background include: calcium (SB26 and SS1), cobalt (SB27), magnesium (SB26 and SS1), and selenium (SB27). A summary of detections is included on Table 3-8.

- **3.2.4.3** Soil Borings Three soil borings (SB25, SB26, and SB27) were conducted in the SYA. Locations are shown on Drawings F1 and F2. At soil boring SB25 soil sample screening did not detect any compounds. The groundwater grab sample detected PCE at 144 ug/L and both TCE and cis-1,2-DCE at BMDL. A sample from the 10 to 12 ft interval was submitted for laboratory analysis. There were no VOCs, SVOCs or Pest/PCBs detected. Metals analytical results are summarized on Table 3-4.
- **3.2.4.4 Groundwater Quality Boring -** One groundwater quality boring (W33C) was conducted in the SYA. VOCs were detected at depths of 29 ft (PCE 9.8 ug/L), at 39 ft (PCE 240 ug/L, 1,1-DCE 12 ug/L, and 1,2-DCA 80 ug/L), and at 49 ft (PCE 5.2 ug/L). There were no detections at the 56 ft or 69 ft sample depths. The sample from 56 ft was collected at the contact between the sand and the underlying clay deposit.

**3.2.4.5 Groundwater -** One well (W41) was installed in SB26. A discussion of results from groundwater sampling is included in Section 3.3.

#### 3.2.5 Beloit Corporation Plant (BCP)

**3.2.5.1** Erection Bay - Soil gas samples were collected in and around the erection bay at locations SG309 through SG315, SG324, SG329 through SG333, SG338, SG357 through SG359, and SG364 through SG370. Detections were BMDL for PCE at locations SG309, SG310, SG357, and SG367 through SG369. The remaining soil gas results ranged from 7.9 ug/L (SG338) to 150 ug/L (SG329). Soil gas results are summarized in Table 3-1 and locations are shown on Drawings F1 and F2.

Soil borings SB30 and SB32 through SB37 were conducted in and around the erection bay. SB30 soil PCE screening results ranged from BMDL (4 to 6, 30 to 32, and 34 to 36 ft) to 71 ug/kg (17 to 19 ft) with the groundwater grab sample concentration of 90 ug/L PCE, and BMDL for TCE, cis-1,2-DCE, and 1,2-DCA. In soil boring SB32, PCE was detected at 16 ug/kg in the sample collected from 21 to 23 ft. The groundwater grab sample resulted in BMDL for PCE. Soil boring SB33 had PCE detections of 51 ug/kg (24 to 26 ft) and BMDL (30 to 32 ft). The groundwater grab sample contained 180 ug/L PCE, BMDL TCE and 120 ug/L cis-1,2-DCE. There were no VOC detections in soils at SB34 with 29 ug/L PCE detected in the groundwater grab sample. Soil boring SB35 PCE screening results for soil ranged from ND (3 to 5 ft) to 110 ug/kg (30 to 32 ft). There was no groundwater sample collected at SB35. Soil boring SB36A PCE results were BMDL in the samples collected from 18 to 20 ft and 23 to 25 ft. The groundwater grab sample contained 320 ug/L PCE. Soil boring SB37 PCE results for soil ranged from BMDL (23 to 25 ft and 28 to 30 ft) to 80 ug/kg (34 to 36 ft). The groundwater grab sample contained 1,700 ug/L PCE.

Soil samples from each boring were sent for laboratory analysis. Two samples from SB30 (17 to 19 ft [sample 1] and 20 to 22 ft [sample 2]) were sent for analysis. PCE was detected in sample 1 at 76 ug/kg and at 20 ug/kg in sample 2. Two soil samples from SB32 (9 to 11 ft [sample 1] and 21 to 23 ft [sample 2]) were submitted to the laboratory for analysis. PCE was detected at 1 ug/kg (sample 1) and 39 ug/kg (sample 2). Two soil samples from SB33 (10 to 12 ft [sample 1] and 24 to 26 ft [sample 2]) were submitted to the laboratory for analysis. Sample 2 was collected from just above the water table (possibly within the zone of water table fluctuation). PCE was detected in sample 1 (2 ug/kg) and PCE (111 ug/kg) and 1,2-DCE, total (4 ug/kg) were detected in sample 2. There were no SVOCs detected in boring SB30, SB32, or SB33. One soil sample from SB34 (8 to 10 ft) was submitted to the laboratory for analysis. There were no VOCs detected. SVOCs detected include benzo(b)fluoroanthene (42 ug/kg), chrysene (37 ug/kg), and fluoroanthene (39 ug/kg). Two soil samples from SB35 (13 to 15 ft [sample 1] and 30 to 32 ft sample 2]) were submitted to the laboratory for analysis. Sample 2 was collected from just above the water table (possibly within the zone of water table fluctuation). One duplicate sample from 13 to 15 ft was also submitted for analysis. PCE was detected at 170 ug/kg (sample 1) and 433 ug/kg (sample 2). SVOC results include bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate (64 ug/kg) detected in sample 2. One soil sample from SB36A (8 to 10 ft) was submitted to the laboratory for analysis. There were no VOCs detected. SVOC

results were qualified as unusable from sample 1 of SB36A. Two soil samples from SB37 (8 to 10 ft [sample 1] and 34 to 36 ft [sample 2]) were submitted to the laboratory for analysis. PCE was detected at 3 ug/kg (sample 1) and 160 ug/kg (sample 2). SVOC results were qualified as unusable for both samples from SB37.

There were no Pest/PCBs detected in soil borings SB30, SB32, SB33, SB34, SB35, SB36A, or SB37. Metals results are summarized on Table 3-4.

Two groundwater quality borings (W30C and W36C) were performed in the vicinity of the erection bay (see Drawing F2). At W30C the sample collected at 39 ft contained 200 ug/L PCE, BMDL TCE, 13 ug/L cis-1,2-DCE, and 9.6 ug/L 1,2-DCA. The sample collected at 49 ft contained 49 ug/L PCE, 12 ug/L cis-1,2-DCE, and 18 ug/L 1,2-DCA. There were no detections in the samples collected from 54, 59, and 69 ft. The sample from 69 ft was collected at the contact between the sand and clay layer.

At W36C samples were collected from 29 ft (680 ug/L PCE), 39 ft (2,000 ug/L PCE), 49 ft (8.6 ug/L PCE, BMDL cis-1,2-DCE, and 8 ug/L o-xylene), 59 ft (BMDL 1,2-DCA), 70.5 ft (7.9 ug/L 1,2-DCA), and 77.5 ft (ND). Samples were collected from 39, 70.5, and 77.5 ft and submitted for laboratory analysis. Results showed PCE at a concentration of 2,500 ug/L in the 39 ft sample and 1,1,1-TCA at a concentration of 7 ug/L in the 70.5 ft sample. No VOCs were detected at the 77.5 ft sample which was collected at the contact between the sand and clay layer. Table 3-6 summarizes the laboratory results and Table 3-5 summarizes the groundwater quality boring results.

Groundwater samples were collected from wells W23/W23B and W28, during Round 2 sampling. A discussion of results from groundwater sampling is included in Section 3.3.

**3.2.5.2** Chip Pad - Soil gas samples were collected in the vicinity of the chip pad/storage shed area at locations SG316 through SG319, SG336, SG337, SG339, SG354, SG362, SG363, and SG371. Soil gas locations SG317, SG354, SG362, and SG371 were BMDL for PCE. PCE was detected at locations SG318 (5.1 ug/L), SG336 (44 ug/L), SG339 (8.1 ug/L), and SG363 (5.6 ug/L). TCE was detected at SG336 (BMDL).

Soil Boring SB38 was performed in the chip pad area. The sample collected from 3 to 5 ft was BMDL for PCE. The groundwater grab sample contained 12 ug/L PCE and BMDL for 1,2-DCA. The samples from 3 to 5 ft (Sample 1) and 8 to 10 ft (Sample 2) were submitted for laboratory analysis. Sample 1 contained 3 ug/kg PCE. There were no VOCs detected in sample 2. There were no Pest/PCBs detected. Metals results are summarized on Table 3-4.

Groundwater quality boring W31C was performed in the chip pad area. Samples were collected from 39 ft (ND), 49 ft (85 ug/L PCE, BMDL 1,1,-DCE and 8.1 ug/L 1,2,-DCA), 59 ft (26 ug/L PCE, BMDL 1,2-DCA) and 69 ft (ND). The 69 ft sample was collected at the contact between the sand and the underlying clay.

Well W31C was installed in boring W31C and was sampled during Round 2 sampling. Groundwater sampling analytical results are discussed in Section 3.3.

**3.2.5.3 Former Dry Well Area -** Soil gas samples SG307, SG308, SG323, SG334, SG373, SG374 and SG378 were collected in the former dry well area. Sample SG374 was BMDL for PCE. PCE was detected in samples SG323 (8.7 ug/L), SG373 (7.5 ug/L) and SG378 (6.3 ug/L).

Soil boring SB22C was performed and soil samples collected. Soil borings SB22, SB22A and SB22C were begun, but discontinued after hitting a metal obstruction at all 3 locations (possibly a former septic tank). Soil samples were collected and screened from SB22 to a depth of 14 ft. The samples collected from 3 to 5 ft and 8 to 10 ft were ND. The sample collected from 13 to 14 ft was BMDL for m+p xylene. Samples collected from SB22C were ND. The groundwater grab sample contained 6 ug/L PCE and 5.8 ug/L 1,2,-DCA.

3.2.5.4 Former Loading Dock Area - Soil gas samples SG300 through SG306, SG325 through SG328, SG372 and SG375 through SG377 were collected in the vicinity of the former loading dock area. Sample SG303 was BMDL. PCE was detected in samples SG304 (5.4 ug/L), SG305 (7.8 ug/L), SG325 (6.1 ug/L), SG372 (6.5 ug/L), SG375 (14 ug/L), SG376 (14 ug/L) and SG377 (6.5 ug/L).

Soil boring SB23 was performed in the former loading dock area. Samples collected did not have any VOC detections. The groundwater grab sample contained 11 ug/L 1,2-DCA.

Samples from 10 to 12 ft (sample 1) and 21.5 to 23.5 ft (sample 2) were submitted for laboratory analysis. There were no VOCs detected in sample 1. PCE was detected in sample 2 (3 ug/L). SVOC results were qualified as unusable. No Pest/PCBs were detected in either sample. Metals results are summarized in Table 3-4.

Groundwater quality boring W35C was performed in the former exterior wall vicinity and W34C was performed near the southeast corner of the BCP. Samples at W35C were collected from 34 ft (5.3 ug/L PCE), 59 ft (BMDL PCE, and 8.7 ug/L 1,2-DCA), 69 ft (9.0 ug/L 1,1-DCE and 47 ug/L 1,2-DCA) and 39, 49, 79 and 85 ft (ND). The sample from 85 ft was collected at the contact between the sand and the underlying clay deposit. Samples collected from W34C include 34 ft (20 ug/L PCE), 39 ft (19 ug/L PCE), 49 ft (7.3. ug/L PCE, BMDL 1,1-DCE, and 7.5 ug/L 1,2-DCA) and 59 ft (BMDL 1,2-DCA), and 69, 79, and 84 ft (ND). The sample at 84 ft was collected at the contact between the sand and the underlying clay deposit.

Well W41 was installed in SB23, well W35C was installed in boring W35C and well W34 was installed in boring W34C. Wells W41, W35C, and W34 were sampled during Round 2 sampling. Groundwater sampling analytical results are discussed in Section 3.3.

**3.2.5.5 Paint Room -** Soil gas samples SG320 through SB322, SG335, SG360 and SG361 were collected in the vicinity of the paint room. Samples SG360 and SG361 were BMDL for PCE and SG320 was BMDL for TCE. Sample SG320, adjacent to an exhaust vent, had a PCE detection of 38 ug/L.

Soil boring SB31 was performed directly under (as space restrictions would allow) the paint room exhaust vent. The boring was drilled to 10 ft and a sample was collected and screened using the field GC. There were no VOC detections and no sample was submitted for laboratory analysis.

**3.2.5.6** Weldery - Soil gas samples SG348 through SG353 were collected in the weldery. Samples SG351 and SG353 were BMDL for VOCs.

Groundwater quality boring W32C was performed southeast of the weldery. Samples were collected at 34, 39, 49, 59, 69 and 79 ft. There were no VOC detections. The sample from 79 ft was collected at the contact between the sand and the underlying clay deposit.

Well W32 was installed in boring W32, which was performed approximately 10 ft from boring W32C. Well W32 was sampled during Round 2 sampling. Groundwater sampling analytical results are discussed in Section 3.3.

#### 3.3 ROUND 2 SAMPLING

Groundwater samples were collected from site monitoring wells as specified in the approved work plan (Table 2) with the exception of W6, which was proposed to be sampled for full analysis. However, W6 is a PVC well and therefore, was not sampled. Wells W27, W28, and W29 were not listed in Table 2 of the approved Work Plan, however, were sampled for VOCs in accordance with the approved QAPP. Private wells in the Blackhawk Acres Subdivision were sampled by the IEPA. Detections for Rounds 1 and 2 are summarized in Table 3-12 and Round 2 analytical results are included in Appendix C.

Analytical results for groundwater monitoring wells are presented in Appendix C. Analytical results from private well sampling are included in Appendix C, as provided by the IEPA. The results of analyses for organic, inorganic, and groundwater quality indicator parameters are summarized in Tables 3-9, 3-10, and 3-11, respectively. Results from Phase I sampling are included on Tables 3-9 and 3-11, for ease in comparing groundwater quality changes between the two sampling events.

Discussion of analytical results are arranged by shallow, intermediate and deep wells. Background monitoring wells are discussed separately.

#### 3.3.1 Background Sampling

Background monitoring wells consist of wells G107, W8R, W11R and W24. Results from inorganic and indicator parameter analysis are used to establish baseline (background) water quality. There were no VOCs, SVOCs, or Pest/PCBs detected in the background monitoring wells.

#### 3.3.2 Shallow Wells

The following wells are considered shallow depth wells and are constructed so the well screen intercepts the water table and is set above elevation 710 ft MSL:

G103S	W1R	W15	W21	W27	W37
G104	W3R	W16R	W22	W28	W38
G107	W8R	W17	W23	W29	W39
G108S	W12R	W19	W24	W32	<b>W</b> 40
G109	W13	W20R	W26	W34	W41
<b>G</b> 110					

Well W38 is included as a shallow well, although there is approximately 5 ft of water above the well screen and the screen bottom is set at elevation 707.6 ft MSL.

VOCs detected in the shallow wells include chlorinated alkanes and alkenes. Chlorinated alkanes detected include 1,1,1-TCA (5 to 18 ug/L) and chloromethane at wells W28 and W38 (11 and 81 ug/L, respectively). Chlorinated alkenes detected include PCE (3 to 4,300 ug/L) and TCE (12 ug/L - W20R and 23 ug/L- W21).

SVOCs detected in samples from the shallow wells include phenol at well W41 (2 ug/L).

There were no Pest/PCBs detected in shallow wells.

Metals that exceeded background concentrations are shown in bold on Table 3-10.

Indicator parameter results show chloride was detected above the calculated background concentration (291 mg/L) at well W15 (1,100 mg/L).

#### 3.3.3 Intermediate Wells

The following wells are considered intermediate depth wells and are screened between elevations 710 to 680 ft MSL:

G103D	W14	W21B	W31C
G108D	W19B	W22B	W35C
W5R	W20B	W23B	

VOCs detected as chlorinated alkanes include 1,1,1,-TCA (9 to 160 ug/L), 1,1-DCA at well W5R (3 ug/L) and chloromethane at well G108D (18 ug/L). Chlorinated alkenes detected

include PCE (3 to 1,600 ug/L), TCE (4 to 60 ug/L), 1,1-DCE at well W21B (26 ug/L) and 1,2-DCE, total (2 to 480 ug/L).

SVOCs and Pest/PCBs were not detected in these groundwater samples.

Metals were elevated above calculated background levels for barium (74.3 ug/L) at wells W31C (96.8 ug/L) and W35C (76.4 ug/L).

Indicator parameter concentrations were not elevated above calculated background levels.

#### 3.3.4 Deep Wells

The following wells are considered deep wells and are screened below elevation 680 ft MSL.

W18	W25C
W22C	W26C

VOCs detected include chlorinated alkanes 1,1,-TCA at wells W18 (8 ug/L), W25C (110 ug/L), and W26C (50 ug/L). Chlorinated alkenes detected include PCE at well W25C (11 ug/L), TCE at wells W18 (36 ug/L), W25C (4 ug/L), and W26C (160 ug/L), and 1,1-DCE at wells W25C (8 ug/L) and W26C (3 ug/L).

SVOCs and Pest/PCBs were not detected in these groundwater samples.

Metals analysis was not performed on samples collected from deep wells during Round 2 sampling.

#### 3.3.5 Historical VOC Concentrations

Two rounds of CLP-VOC analysis have been conducted at many wells. Table 3-9 includes data from both rounds (the -01 suffix indicates Round 1 and -02 indicates Round 2). The following wells show substantial differences between Round 1 and Round 2:

	Round	1.1.1-TCA	PCE	TCE
W05R	1	45	12	3
	2	34	33	9
W20R	1	16	ND	ND
	2	8	24	12
W21	1	22	31	19
	2	17	90	23
W21B	1	25	ND	2
	2	160	ND	16
W25C	1	10	ND	1
	2	110	11	4

W26C	1	16	ND	61
	2	50	ND	160
W23B	1 2	47 ND	970 1,600	33 60

Each of these wells, which are located on Beloit Corporation property, are downgradient, as shown on current water table maps, of the identified source area that is located on Beloit Corporation property (the vicinity of well W23). The remaining monitoring wells show very similar VOC concentrations to Round 1 (See Table 3-9).

Table 3-12 (private well sampling) includes VOC results from both Round 1 and 2 sampling.

J:\3856\0070\WP\RPT\999\_SEC3.WPD

### SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

This section summarizes the data obtained from Phase 2 of the RI.

#### 4.1 SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

#### 4.1.1 Geology

Phase 2 further refined the Phase 1 geologic correlations, finding no inconsistencies from Phase 1. In general, the upper 20 to 30 ft are composed of coarse glacial outwash sands and gravels which are shown by the borings to extend laterally over the entire site. Underlying this coarse outwash is a fine grained (silty sand) glacial outwash that ranges in thickness but is generally 25 to 30 ft thick. In the vicinity of W18 the unit is approximately 44 ft thick, and pinches out in the southwestern region of the site. The fine grained unit is underlain by a sand and gravel outwash deposit that are shown by the borings to extend laterally over the entire site. The lower coarse grained unit is underlain by a clay deposit that is shown by the borings to extend across the entire site.

#### 4.1.2 Hydrogeology

The Phase 2 investigation further evaluated the direction of groundwater flow through generating water table maps which indicated consistent flow directions as previous maps. The water table maps from Phase 2 (Drawings F12 through F15) were computer generated using a contouring software package which mathematically interpolates given data points. In general, groundwater flowlines (lines perpendicular to the groundwater contours) are to the northwest, west, southwest, south, southeast, and east from a northeast-southwest trending groundwater high, typically located in the northern portion of the site. Surface water (Rock River) stage elevations are lower than the groundwater elevations in the northern region of the site and are higher than the groundwater elevations in the southern region of the site.

The former Beloit production well WW441E, was located in the plant (See Drawing F-1) and operated until 1989, and was screened in the shallow sand and gravel. Although not shown by the current groundwater system beneath the site, it is probable the operation of former production well WW441E affected groundwater flow in the past. As discussed in Section 2.3, well WW441E operated at rates ranging from an average of approximately 5,500 gal/day to 102,000 gal/day.

#### 4.1.3 Potential Source Areas

Soil gas, soil, and groundwater samples collected during the Phase 2 RI were screened using the field GC. Results were used to finalize proposed location of subsequent activities (i.e., soil gas results were used to finalize soil boring locations, soil boring results were used to finalize groundwater quality boring locations). Field GC results were also used to help select soil samples, collected from soil borings, for laboratory analysis. GC results also aided in determining contingency soil gas and soil boring placement.

The primary objective of the Phase II RI was to identify and characterize potential sources on the Beloit Corporation property. As a result of this investigation, several areas on Beloit Corporation property were eliminated as potential sources of VOCs. Areas eliminated as potential sources of VOCs are as follows:

- Storage Yard Area VOCs were detected in soil gas samples, although no VOCs were detected in soil samples at the surface or at depth.
- Chip Pad VOCs were detected in several soil gas samples, no VOCs in excess of 3 ug/kg of PCE were detected in the soils above the water table.
- Former Dry Well Area VOCs were not detected in soil samples in areas with low VOC concentrations in soil gas.
- Former Loading Dock A similar condition was present at the former loading dock as at the former dry well area (low VOCs in soil gas, with minimal VOCs in the soil samples).
- Paint Room The paint room exhaust vent appears to be a minor source of PCE to surficial soils. However, this area is not considered a source of VOCs to the groundwater.
- Weldery No soil gas samples contained any VOCs above BMQL. Therefore, no further investigation was considered necessary.

Investigation within the erection bay and in the vicinity of well W23 further refined the extent of detectable concentrations of VOCs in this area. Findings from this investigation in this area are as follows:

- Highest soil gas VOC concentrations were present at SG329 (150 ug/L).
- Soil borings indicate VOCs in soil above the water table, although at relatively low concentrations (up to 76 ug/kg at SB30, 17 to 19 ft).
- The highest VOCs in groundwater (4,300 ug/L PCE, Round 2 sampling analytical results) are present at W23 at the water table.

- VOC concentrations in groundwater decrease with depth and are not detected at the top of the clay deposit at W30C, and W36C (field screening and CLP analysis).
- VOC concentrations in groundwater extend laterally to the north and east in low concentrations (i.e., less than 30 ug/L).

Results of the Round 2 groundwater quality analyses are generally consistent with Round 1 and collectively the data indicate:

- No significant VOC concentrations were detected at the sand/clay contact at the identified source area (well W23 area) or at other locations. This indicates that no pooled DNAPL is or has been present at the base of the aquifer.
- The extent of VOC concentrations in groundwater, greater than 1,000 ug/L, were found only at W23 and water quality boring W36C. Both are located adjacent to the erection bay in the vicinity of the source of VOCs.
- Phase 2 Groundwater VOC concentrations are at similar or lower concentrations than Round 1 except downgradient of the source near well W23. In addition, wells directly downgradient and closer to the source have larger increases in VOC concentrations.

#### 4.1.4 Source Characterization and Distribution

Based upon data obtained during Phase 1 and Phase 2 of the RI, all known potential VOC source areas on Beloit Corporation property have been evaluated and all but one significant source eliminated. Specifically the only significant source of VOCs at the site has been shown to be located in the area of the southernmost portion of the erection bay near well W23.

Based on Phase II data, specifics about this source area are as follows:

- VOCs in the unsaturated zone soils are present at relatively low concentrations (<100 ug/kg).
- The extent of VOCs in the unsaturated zone soils are limited in areal extent, and is confined to the southern portion of the erection bay and a short distance (<45 ft) south of it.
- VOCs in groundwater are limited to the upper portion of the shallow sand and gravel, with no VOCs detected at the contact between the sand and gravel and the underlying clay deposit.

RJR/vlr/KJQ J:\3856\0070\WP\RPT\999\_SEC4.WPD 3856.0070-MD



T A B L E S

**TABLE 2-1** 

#### Summary of Grain Size Analysis **Beloit Corporation - Blackhawk Facility** Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study - Phase II

Boring	Sample	Sample	Sample			% Silt +	% Clay				1
No.	No.	Туре	Depth	% Gravel	% Sand	% Silt	% Clay	K	LL	PI	USC
SB22C	4	SS	20.0 - 21.8	17.8	51.9	30	30.3		-	_	SM
SB23		Drum	0.0 - 15.0	52.7	42.5		.8	_	-		GP/SP
	6	SS	20.2 - 21.7	24.1	51.2	24	1.7			_	SM
SB24	13	SS	23.2 - 25.2	17.2	64.5	18	3.3	-	-	_	SM
SB25		Drum	0.0 - 18.0	57.6	34.3	8.	.1			_	GP-GM
SB26		Drum	0.0 - 18.0	65.8	26.9	7.	.3	-	-		GW-GM
	5	SS	23.0 - 25.0	0.0	97.5	2.	.5		- 1	_	SP
SB27A	14	SS	23.0 - 25.0	3.5	89.9	6.	.6	_	-	_	SP-SM
SB30		Drum	6.0 - 12.0	62.2	32.4	5.	.4	-			GW-GM
SB32		Drum	0.0 - 20.0	56.9	34.5	8.	.6	-	-	-	GP-GM
SB33		Drum	0.0 - 14.0	64.8	31.1	4.	.1		-	-	GW
	13	SS	24.0 - 26.0	9.4	54.6	21.3	14.7		~-	_	SM
SB34	5	SS	23.0 - 25.0	0.1	96.4	3.	.5	1	1	1	SP
SB36A	5	SS	23.0 - 25.0	1.6	90.9	7.	.5	1	***		SP-SM
SB37	7	SS	28.0 - 30.0	15.3	50.9	33	3.8	-	+	-	SM
W30C	ST1	ST	69.8 - 71.8	_	-	•	-	6.2E-08	1	-	CL
W31C	ST1	ST	80.0 - 82.0		-	•	•	2.3E-08	-		CL
W32C		Grab	46.0	24.1	65.6	10	0.3	-	-		SP-SM
		Grab	64.0	1.9	92.7	5.	.4	-		<u> </u>	SP-SM
W33C		Grab	34.0	0.0	10.1	39.6	50.3	-	29	14	CL
		Grab	50.0	0.0	89.4	10			-		SP-SM
		Grab	63.0	0.0	0.6	37.4	62.0		36	20	CL
W34C		Grab	79.0	0.0	86.1	13	1.9			-	SM
	STI	ST	84.0 - 85.9					1.3E-06			CL
W35C		Grab	83.0	3.0	94.7	2.	.3	_	_	-	SP
	ST1	ST	<b>85.0 - 87.0</b>					2.1E-08			CL
W36C		Grab	69.0	0.0	98.9	1.	.1	-	-	-	SP
	ST1	ST	79.5 <b>-</b> 81.5	<u> </u>				5.0E-08	-	-	CL
W37	4	SS	20.0 - 21.0	52.9	44.4	2				-	GW/SW
GB2	4	SS	20.0 - 22.0	9.0	56.9	20.8	13.3	-	-	-	SM
	7	SS	35.0 - 37.0	15.9	48.5		5.6	-	-		SM
	9	SS	45.0 - 47.0	6.4	58.0		5.6	-	-	_	SM
	10	SS	50.0 - 52.0	0.0	92.0	8.	.0				SP-SM

Notes: K = Vertical Hydraulic Conductivity (Laboratory rigid wall permeability test)

ш = Liquid Limit

= Plasticity Index Ρī

SS

= 3" Split Spoon = 3" Shelby Tube ST

= Grab sample as cutting exited the drill rig cyclone = Auger cuttings Grab

Drum

#### JLV/jtv/RJR

[J:\10024910\Tables\GRAINSZE.XLS]

10024910/159

TABLE 2-2

# Water Level Summary Beloit Corporation - Blackhawk Facility Remedial Investigation / Feasibility Study

	Elevation	Elevation	Elevation	Elevation	Elevation	Elevation	Elevation	Elevation	Elevation	Elevation
	SWL	SWL	SWL	SWL	SWL	SWL	SWL	SWL	SWL	SWL
Well ID	11-12-92	3-9-93	5-26-93	8-12-93	1-24-94	6-3-94	6-22-94	8-26-94	10-28-94	12-30-94
	(ft MSL)	(ft MSL)	(ft MSL)	(ft MSL)	(ft MSL)	(ft MSL)	(ft MSL)	(ft MSL)	(ft MSL)	(ft MSL)
Wl	726.97	727.73	730.18	730.65	728.12	<b>7</b> 27.89	ABD	ABD	ABD	ABD
WIR	NA	NA	NA NA	NA	NA	NA	<b>728</b> .67	<b>7</b> 27.53	<b>728</b> .01	728.00
W2	724.00	<b>7</b> 26.55	730.06	731.13	<b>7</b> 25.26	<b>72</b> 5.71	725.34	724.18	724.53	725.03
W3R	722.46	723.45	726.60	726.91	723.24	<b>72</b> 3.59	723.33	<b>722</b> .17	722.78	<b>7</b> 22.75
W4	Dry	Dry	725.87	726.72	DRY	DRY	ABD	ABD	ABD	ABD
W5R	722.53	723.44	726.51	726.90	723.23	723.49	<b>7</b> 23.27	722.20	722.75	<b>722.71</b>
W6	726.04	726.72	728.02	727.64	725.83	725.46	725.28	724.77	725.25	725.74
W7	728.15	728.75	731.91	732.58	728.96	728.96	728.88	728.33	728.20	728.51
W8R	<b>728</b> .11	729.03	731.32	733.60	731.33	730.66	730.52	729.04	<b>729.51</b>	729.24
W9	<b>727.39</b>	<b>728</b> .65	733.16	733.82	729.12	729.04	<b>728.75</b>	728.04	728.06	728.29
W10	<b>727.41</b>	728.66	733.02	733.71	. <b>72</b> 9.13	725.86	<b>728.7</b> 5	728.05	<b>72</b> 8.05	728.30
WIIR	<b>728</b> .11	729.04	731.32	733.57	731.33	730.67	730.51	729.03	729.52	729.23
W12	722.83	724.01	<i>7</i> 27.52	728.40	724.01	724.35	ABD	ABD	ABD	ABD
W12R	NA	NA	NA	NA ·	NA	NA	724.10	722.73	723.32	723.58
W13	726.59	728.83	732.29	733.33	728.04	728.01	727.99	727.56	727.02	727.38
W14	726.25	727.76	731. <b>7</b> 2	732.90	727.97	727.82	<i>7</i> 27.58	726.98	726.85	726.78
W15	728.42	729.80	732.44	733.07	730.19	730.07	729.78	728.56	729.08	729.14
W16R	727.91	729.07	732.11	733.06	730.08	729.79	729.56	728.32	728.75	728.69
W17	<b>7</b> 27.96	726.45	728.30	727.86	725.82	725.69	726.28	725.02	725.55	<b>725.71</b>
W18	722.74	724.17	<i>7</i> 27.76	728.74	723.99	724.41	723.68	723.01	723.35	723.52
W19	721.22	722.02	725.42	725.83	721.91	722.11	721.93	721.00	721.46	721.25
W19B	721.46	722.25	725.63	726.03	722.14	722.12	721.92	721.01	721.46	721.26
W20R	723.28	724.24	726.99	727.13	723.85	724.13	723.88	722.78	723.42	724.37
W20B	723.29	724.20	727.02	727.28	723.86	724.10	723.87	722.83	723.40	722.92
W21	723.98	725.02	728.02	728.45	724.67	724.94	724.64	723.45	724.10	724.27
W21B	724.05	724.96	727.73	<i>7</i> 28.07	724.60	724.84	724.60	723.54	724.12	724.27
W22	724.31	725.88	729.85	731.25	725.42	725.87	725.53	724.35	724.77	725.25
W22B	724.07	725.77	729.52	730.62	725.29	<i>7</i> 25.59	725.29	724.10	724.51	724.91
W22C	723.65	725.07	728.68	729.63	724.82	725.16	724.84	723.62	724.10	724.42
W23	727.44	728.04	731.34	732.02	728.04	728.27	727.93	727.66	727.54	728.11
W23B	727.10	727.85	731.10	731.63	727.93	<b>7</b> 27.86	727.60	727.17	<b>727</b> .61	727.56
W24	727.83	728.78	731.75	732.70	730.00	<b>7</b> 29.71	729.55	728.34	728.71	727.62
W25C	722.41	723.31	726.41	726.83	723.13	723.44	723.21	722.15	722.68	722.65
W26	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	722.49	<i>7</i> 21.33	721.71	<b>72</b> 1.63
W26C	721.37	722.31	725.85	726.66	722.48	722.77	<b>722</b> .53	<i>7</i> 21.46	721.81	<i>7</i> 21.73
W27	<b>726.42</b>	728.07	732.45	733.82	728.73	728.40	728.19	727.34	727.38	<i>7</i> 27.38
W28	728.94	728.98	732.61	732.91	NR	729.55	729.56	728.92	728.70	729.38
W29	720.36	721.55	725.62	<b>727.12</b>	722.13	723.44	723.20	<b>722</b> .16	722.34	722.25
W31C	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	727.65	727.60	727.92
W32	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	<b>727.8</b> 0	727.69	728.03
W34	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	727.94	728.36	728.13
W35C	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	727.85	727.86	728.13
W37	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	727.83	727.90	727.92
W38	NA NA	NA	NA NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	723.19	723.83	723.94
W39	NA NA	NA NA	NA	NA	NA NA	NA NA	NA NA	727.72	727.95	728.15
W40	NA NA	NA NA	NA NA	NA NA	NA NA	NA NA	NA NA	728.03	727.33 728.14	728.36
W40 W41	NA NA	NA NA	NA NA	NA NA	NA NA	NA NA	NA NA	728.03 727.07	727.01	727.30
4441	144	144	1444	1442	11/4	NO.	W	121.01	727.01	141.30

TABLE 2-2

# Water Level Summary Beloit Corporation - Blackhawk Facility Remedial Investigation / Feasibility Study

	Elevation	Elevation	Elevation	Elevation	Elevation	Elevation	Elevation	Elevation	Elevation	Elevation
1 1	SWL	SWL	SWL	SWL	SWL	SWL	SWL	SWL	SWL	SWL
Well ID	11-12-92	3-9-93	5-26-93	8-12-93	1-24-94	6-3-94	6-22-94	8-26-94	10-28-94	12-30-94
	(ft MSL)	(ft MSL)	(ft MSL)	(ft MSL)	(ft MSL)					
G101	721.66	NR	725.93	727.86	724.46	724.04	723.74	722.38	722.90	722.75
G103S	723.54	725.75	730.21	730.68	724.93	725.50	725.13	723.79	724.19	724.60
G103D	723.48	<i>7</i> 25.67	729.49	730.24	725.02	725.41	725.06	723.77	724.14	<b>724.53</b>
G104	722.44	723.42	726.44	726.65	723.10	723.48	723.25	722.17	722.76	722.70
G107	<b>7</b> 27.84	728.69	731.80	732.92	730.27	<b>72</b> 9. <b>8</b> 0	729.63	728.27	728.76	728.54
G108S	719.32	720.56	<b>724.4</b> 0	725.70	<b>7</b> 21.35	<i>7</i> 21.27	720.93	719.78	720.16	720.02
G108D	719.46	<b>72</b> 0.59	724.42	725.72	<i>7</i> 21.37	721.29	720.95	719.82	720.19	720.04
G109	722.21	723.05	<b>72</b> 6.01	NR	722.65	722.98	722.84	721.91	722.42	722.31
G110	721.66	722.34	725.50	<i>7</i> 25.65	721.95	722.35	722.23	721.43	721.84	721.61
Pl	722.64	722.64	726.05	726.13	722.87	<b>723.36</b>	723.22	722.36	722.86	722.78

	Elevation		,	Elevation	Elevation	Elevation	Elevation	Elevation	Elevation
i	of Surface		,	of Surface					
Staff	Water		•	Water	Water	Water	Water	Water	Water
Gauge	11-12-92			1-24-94	6-3-94	6-22-94	8-26-94	10-28-94	12-30-94
	(ft MSL)			(ft MSL)					
SG3	725.58			725.48					
SG4	725.53			724.44					
SG5	725.84			726.00					}
SG6	NA			NA	NA	NA	725.83	725.56	725.41
SG7	NA			NA	NA	NA	725.69	725.44	NA

#### OTES:

- 1. SWL = Static Water Level
- 2. ABD = Well Abandoned
- 3. MSL = Mean Sea Level
- 4. NA = Not Applicable. Not yet installed
- 5. NR = Not Recorded, well unable to be located.

JLV/jhv/RJR

[J:\10024910\Tables\1994wLxls]

TABLE 2-3

## Well Information Form Beloit Corporation - Blackhawk Facility Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study - Phase II

									M	ONITORING WI	ELL ELEVATI	ONS (1)
									EXISTING	ELEVATIONS	1994	SURVEY
Well	Coord	linates	Well	Ground	Screen	Well	Well	Year	Top of Casing	Top of Protective	Top of Casing	Top of Protective
ID	North	East	Depth (2)	Elevation (3)	Length (4)	Туре	Material	Installed	Elevation	Casing Elevation	Elevation	Casing Elevation
										•••		
WIR	2116809.4	797781.8	25.6	747.1	10	W	2" PVC/SS	1994	NA	NA	749.41	749.34
W2	2114080.5	796589.3	37.0	752.9	10	W	2" PVC	1983	754.94	755.12	755.03	755.51
W3R	2113822.4	795756.7	29.1	744.0	10	W	2" PVC/SS	1992	746.22	746.40	746.25	746.43
W5R	2113828.5	795755.9	57.7	744.0	5	P	2" PVC/SS	1992	746.17	746.43	746.16	746.43
W6	2115090.0	795860.0	38.4	745.2	10	W	2" PVC	1984	747.61	747.66	747.79	747.83
W7	2116047.6	796956.8	33.4	749.1	10	w	2" PVC	1984	751.20	751.22	751.29	751.40
W8R	2115777.3	799691.7	51.6	771.9	10	w	2" PVC/SS	1992	774.83	775.02	774.93	775.13
W9	2115360.0	797315.0	34.5	752.7	10	w	2" PVC	1984	754.62	754.67	754.76	754.82
W10	2115363.5	797333.5	57.7	752.6	5	P	2" PVC	1984	754.61	754.72	754.74	754.89
WIIR	2115770.7	799690.6	61.1	<i>7</i> 71.9	5	P	2" PVC/SS	1992	774.82	775.22	774.92	775.36
W12R	2113737.2	796402.8	37.5	754.1	10	w	2" PVC/SS	1994	NA	NA	756.56	756.49
W13	2114475.0	796834.0	30.0	753.1	10	W	2" SS	1987	755.20	755.47	755.34	755.61
W14	2114475.5	796834.3	58.4	752.6	5	P	2" SS	1987	753.75	754.00	753.89	754.23
W15	2115935.0	797650.0	30.5	751.0	10	w	2" SS	1987	753.32	753.43	753.41	753.60
W16R	2115808.3	798055.6	39.8	758.8	10	W	2" PVC/SS	1992	761.54	761.78	761.59	761.85
W17	2116470.0	796690.0	15.5	732.8	10	W	2" SS	1987	735.36	735.64	735.57	735.85
W18	2113721.0	796617.6	75.0	746.1	5	P	2" SS	1989	748.35	Unknown	748.48	748.28
W19	2113068.7	795439.2	27.3	742.1	10	W	2" PVC/SS	1992	744.76	745.08	744.74	745.07
W19B	2113068.6	795430.3	57.1	742.1	5	P	2" PVC/SS	1992	744.97	744.97	744.72	744.98
W20R	2114198.2	795748.4	27.3	743.9	10	w	2" PVC/SS	1992	746.58	746.87	747.08	747.20
W20B	2114207.4	795746.7	51.7	743.9	5	P	2" PVC/SS	1992	747.11	747.22	746.56	746.85
W21	2114472.9	795972.7	30.0	747.8	10	w	2" PVC/SS	1992	750.29	750.36	750.23	750.29
W21B	2114467.1	795974.5	60.1	747.8	5	P	2" PVC/SS	1992	750.39	750.54	750.37	750.52
W22	2114216.6	796509.5	34.5	754.9	10	w	2" PVC/SS	1992	757.93	758.05	757.90	758.03
W22B	2114209.9	796506.9	60.2	754.9	5	P	2" PVC/SS	1992	758.13	758.28	758.05	758.22
W22C	2114210.1	796514.5	73.1	754.9	5	P	2" PVC/SS	1992	757.71	757.96	757.69	757.98
W23	2115143.4	796336.9	33.9	753.9	10	w	2" PVC/SS	1992	753.59	753.90	753.52	753.83
W23B	2115136.3	796335.1	49.8	753.7	5	P	2" PVC/SS	1992	753.38	753.70	753.32	753.64
W24	2116665.0	798525.0	32.1	752.3	10	w	2" PVC/SS	1992	755.44	755.32	755.55	755.43
W25C	2113792.5	795761.0	72.9	744.2	5	P	2" PVC/SS	1992	746.72	746.99	746.76	747.05
W26	2113339.1	796150.2	36.7	751.9	10	w	2" PVC/SS	1994	NA	NA	754.16	754.09
W26C	2113338.8	796153.6	77.0	751.9	5	P	2" PVC/SS	1992	754.55	754.67	754.61	754.73
W27	2114579.6	798024.9	50.4	764.8	10	w	2" PVC/SS	1992	767.22	767.39	767.29	767.45
W28	2115719.2	796771.7	32.4	752.8	10	w	2" PVC/SS	1992	752.27	752.80	752.43	752.96

**TABLE 2-3** 

## Well Information Form Beloit Corporation - Blackhawk Facility Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study - Phase II

									M	ONITORING WI	ELL ELEVATI	ONS (1)
									EXISTING	ELEVATIONS	1994	SURVEY
Well	Coord	inates	Well	Ground	Screen	Well	Well	Year	Top of Casing	Top of Protective	Top of Casing	Top of Protective
ID [	North	East	Depth (2)	Elevation (3)	Length (4)	Туре	Material	Installed	Elevation	Casing Elevation	Elevation	Casing Elevation
W29	2113195.0	797019.3	30.6	747.6	10	W	2" PVC/SS	1992	749.87	750.12	751.16	751.40
W31C	2115103.8	796672.9	52.2	754.1	5	P	2" PVC/SS	1994	NA	NA	753.75	754.08
W32	2115112.8	797025.9	30.5	754.1	10	w	2" PVC/SS	1994	NA	NA	756.54	756.53
W34	2115019.1	796861.9	36.8	753.8	5	w	2" PVC/SS	1994	NA	NA	753.45	753.78
W35C	2115284.3	796752.7	70.0	754.3	5	P	2" PVC/SS	1994	NA	NA	754.01	754.34
W37	2115134.9	797758.1	40.1	758.1	10	w	2" PVC/SS	1994	NA	NA	757.68	758.06
W38	2114467.1	795785.5	35.2	742.8	10	P	2" PVC/SS	1994	NA	NA	745.26	745.19
W39	2115344.2	796631.0	31.8	754.3	10	w	2" PVC/SS	1994	NA	NA	753.85	754.33
W40	2115335.4	796865.2	32.3	754.3	10	w	2" PVC/SS	1994	NA	NA	753.68	754.32
W41	2114557.3	796642.6	34.4	754.9	10	w	2" PVC/SS	1994	NA	NA	754.38	754.85
G101	2112670.0	798680.0	52.3	763.7	15	w	2" PVC	1984	766.37	NA	766.45	NA
G103S	2113721.0	796617.0	25.8	746.4	5	W	2" SS	1986	748.87	749.02	748.94	749.09
G103D			49.0	746.1	5	P	2" SS	1986	747.89	Unknown	747.96	748.10
G104	2113795.0	795690.0	25.0	741.7	5	W	2" SS	1986	744.56	744.71	744.64	744.78
G107	2116860.0	799170.0	48.7	769.3	5	w	2" SS	1986	771.24	Unknown	771.31	771.41
G108S	2112535.0	797165.0	39.8	754.0	5	W	2" SS	1987	756.91	Unknown	756.90	757.07
G108D			71.6	753.8	5	P	2" SS	1987	756.36	Unknown	756.34	756.49
G109	2113560.0	795380.0	17.4	735.6	5	W	2" SS	1987	738.99	Unknown	739.05	739.22
G110	2113240.0	795000.0	19.8	735.6	5	w	2" SS	1987	738.20	Unknown	738.26	738.42
P1	2113850.0	795200.0	20.0	732.4	10	P	2"PVC	1986	734.52	NA	734.73	NA
SG6	2116513.1	796661.8	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	1994	NA	NA	725.03 (6)	NA
SG7	2114067.4	794767.5	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	1994(5)	NA	NA	724.58 <sup>(6)</sup>	NA
				<u></u>		<u></u>						

#### Notes:

2" PVC = 2" Poly vinyl chloride well screen and casing

2" SS = 2" Stainless steel well screen and casing

2" PVC = 2" poly vinyl chloride well casing and 2" stainless steel screen (10' stainless steel riser above screen in piezometers).

NA = Not applicable

#### Footnotes:

- (1) All elevations shown are in ft. MSL (Mean Sea Level).
- (2) Well depth relative to ground surface.
- (3) Ground surface at wells exiting prior to Phase II were not surveyed in 1994.
- (4) Soreen lengths are shown as general measurements. For exact screen lengths, see Appendix F of Tech Memo 2 for wells installed in 1994 and Appendices C and D of Tech Memo 1 for wells existing prior to Phase II of the RI/FS.
- (5) Staff Gauge SG5 from Phase I of the RI/FS was reset and designated as SG7.
- (6) Elevation shown is the "zero" mark on staff gauges.

**TABLE 2-4** 

#### Summary of Hydraulic Conductivities Beloit Corporation - Blackhawk Facility Remedial Investigation / Feasibility Study

Well	Hydraulic Conductivity	Screened	Test
ID	(cm/sec)	Material	Туре
W1R	1.1 x 10 - 01	Sand and Gravel	Slug
W12R	4.0 x 10 - 04	Sand (1)	Baildown
W26	2.4 x 10 - 02	Sand and Gravel	Slug
W31C	1.5 x 10 - 02	Sand	Air Pressure
W32	1.1 x 10 - 03	Silty Sand	Slug
W34	2.0 x 10 - 04	Silty Sand	Slug
W35C	4.0 x 10 - 03	Sand	Air Pressure
W37	8.7 x 10 - 03	Silty Sand	Slug
W38	2.8 x 10 - 03	Sand	Air Pressure
W39	5.8 x 10 - 03	Silty Sand	Slug
<b>W</b> 40	2.6 x 10 - 05	Silty Sand	Baildown
W41	5.2 x 10 - 02	Sand	Slug

#### Notes:

Hydraulic Conductivity Test Methods are Included in Appendix H

#### Footenotes:

(1) Probable silty sand at the water table

#### RJR/rjr/MHG

J:\Archive\10024910\tables\hydcon94.xls

TABLE 2-5
Vertical Gradient Calculations
Beloit Corporation - Blackhawk Facility
Remedial Investigation Feasibility Study - Phase II

WELL	SCREEN IN	MERVAL	SCREEN	LEVEL	WELL	VERTICAL GRADIENT
I.D.	TOP	воттом	MIDPOINT	(8-26-94)	I.D.	(8-26-94)
G103S	726.1	721.1	723.6	723.79	G103S/G103D	-0.001
G103D	702.5	697.1	699.8	723.77	G103D/W18	-0.033
W18	679.1	674.1	676.6	723.01	G103S/W18	-0.017
G108S	719.7	714.7	717.2	719.78	G108S/G108D	0.001
G108D	687.6	682.7	685.2	719.82		
W3R	725.1	714.9	720.0	722.17	W3R/W5R	0.001
W5R	691.5	686.3	688.9	722.20	W5R/W25C	-0.002
W25C	676.5	671.3	673.9	722.15	W3R/W25C	0.000
W9	728.2	718.2	723.2	728.04	W9/W10	0.000
W10	699.9	694.9	697.4	728.05		
W8R	730.5	720.3	725.4	729.04	W8R/W11R	-0.001
WIIR	716.0	710.8	713.4	729.03		
W13	733.1	723.1	728.1	727.56	W13/W14	-0.019
W14	698.8	694.2	696.5	726.98		
W19	725.0	714.8	719.9	721.00	W19/W19B	0.000
W19B	690.2	685.0	687.6	721.01		
W20R	726.8	716.6	721.7	722.78	W20R/W20B	0.002
W20B	697.4	692.2	694.8	722.83		
W21	728.0	717.8	722.9	723.45	W21/W21B	0.003
W21B	692.9	687.7	690.3	723.54		
W22	730.6	720.4	725.5	724.35	W22/W22B	-0.009
W22B	699.9	694.7	697.3	724.10	W22B/W22C	-0.037
W22C	687.0	681.8	684.4	723.62	W22/W22C	-0.018
W23	730.2	720.0	725.1	727.66	W23/W23B	-0.023
W23B	709.1	703.9	706.5	727.17		
W26	725.5	715.2	720.4	721.33	W26/W26C	0.003
W26C	680.1	674.9	677.5	721.46		

## **NOTES:**

- (-) = Downward Vertical Gradient
- (+) = Upward Vertical gradient

Water Levels Collected by Montgomery Watson on August 26, 1994

J:\10024910\TABLES\BCVG94.XLS RJR\rjr\MHG

# TABLE 3-1 Results For Field Volatile Organics Screening - Soil Gas

1								Alkene	s		1	Alkane	s		A	romati	cs	
,		Sample		PID		PCE	TCE	1,1-DCE	trans-1,2-DCE	cis-1,2-DCE	1,1,1-TCA	1,1-DCA	1,2-DCA	Benzene	Toluene	Ethyl Benzene	M+P Xylene	O-Xylene
- 1	Sample ID	Date	Depth	Units		P(	Ţ	<u>-i</u>	Ιί	<u>: 3</u>	1,	_ <u>-</u> _	٠,	Ř	Ĕ	西	Σ	<u> </u>
ļ	SG300	6/5/94	6-8 ft	0	ND			ļ										
	SG301	6/5/94	6-8 ft	0	ND	-												
-	SG302	6/5/94	6-8 ft	0	ND													-
1	SG303	6/5/94	6-8 ft	0		<		-										
į	SG304	6/5/94	6-8 ft	0		5.4	<b></b>		ļ		-							
	SG305	6/5/94	6-8 ft	0		7.8	ļ				ļ	_						
	SG305 DUP	6/5/94	6-8 ft	-	\	9.3	-	<u> </u>			<u> </u>			<u> </u>				<del>                                     </del>
,	SG306	6/5/94	6-8 ft	0	ND			<del>                                     </del>										$\vdash$
ļ	SG307	6/5/94	6-8 ft	0	ND						<u> </u>			<u> </u>				
	SG308 SG308 DUP	6/5/94	6-8 ft	0	ND ND		ļ	ļ		ļ				-		-		
•		6/5/94	6-8 ft	-	עא		-											I
	SG309 SG310	6/4/94	6-8 ft	0	<b>-</b>	<	<del> </del>									· · · -		$\vdash$
1	SG310	6/4/94	6-8 ft	0	$\vdash$	<u> </u>	<b></b>	-										
ļ		6/4/94	6-8 ft	0	NTD	9.4												$\vdash$
	SG312	6/4/94	3-4 ft 3-4 ft	0	ND ND		-	<b></b>						<u> </u>				-
-1	SG313 SG314	6/4/94	3-4 ft	0	ND		<u> </u>							ļ				
	SG315	6/4/94 6/4/94	3-4 ft	0	ND						ļ	_						
	SG316	6/3/94	3-4 ft	0	ND	<u> </u>	<u> </u>				<del>                                     </del>			<b></b>				$\vdash \vdash \vdash$
٠.	SG316 DUP	6/3/94	3-4 ft		ND			-	-		<del> </del>							$\vdash \vdash \vdash$
~	SG317	6/3/94	3-4 ft	0	ND	<	-			<u> </u>					-			<b></b> -
,	SG318	6/3/94	3-4 ft	0	<u> </u>	5.1			ļ	-					<u> </u>			
	SG319	6/3/94	3-4 ft	0	ND	J.1			<del>                                     </del>	<del> </del>	<u> </u>							
	SG320	6/3/94	3-4 ft	0	ND	38	<							<del> </del>				
ļ	SG321	6/3/94	3-4 ft	0	ND	1-50	<u> </u>		-		<b></b>			<b></b>				
	SG322	6/3/94	3-4 ft	ō	ND		<b> </b>							<u> </u>			<u> </u>	
- 1	SG323	6/5/94	6-8 ft	ō	1	8.7						_						
	SG323 DUP	6/5/94	6-8 ft	-		8.7												
	SG324	6/5/94	6-8 ft	0		9.4						-						
- 1	SG325	6/5/94	6-8 ft	0		6.1												
	SG326	6/5/94	6-8 ft	0	ND				1	1		_						
	SG327	6/5/94	6-8 ft	0	ND													
	SG328	6/5/94	6-8 ft	0	ND													
	SG329	6/4/94	6-8 ft	0		150												
	SG330	6/4/94	6-8 ft	0		62												
	SG331	6/4/94	6-8 ft	0	ND													
•	SG332	6/4/94	6-8 ft	0	ND													
	SG333	6/4/94	6-8 ft	0	ND													
	SG333 DUP	6/4/94	6-8 ft	-	ND													
	SG334	6/5/94	6-8 ft	0	ND						<u> </u>							

## TABLE 3-1 Results For Field Volatile Organics Screening - Soil Gas

							Alkene	s			Alkane	S		A	romati	ics	
Sample ID	Sample Date	Depth	PID Units		PCE	TCE	1,1-DCE	trans-1,2-DCE	cis-1,2-DCE	1,1,1-TCA	1,1-DCA	1,2-DCA	Benzene	Toluene	Ethyl Benzene	M+P Xylene	O-Xylene
SG335	6/3/94	3-4 ft	0	ND	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	-		3	<del></del>			<del>                                     </del>	_	ш		1
SG336	6/3/94	3-4 ft	0	ND	44	<		<del> </del> -	<del> </del>		-		<del></del>	<del> </del> -		$\vdash$	<del> </del>
SG337	6/3/94	3-4 ft	0	ND	44	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<del> </del> -	<del> </del>	<del></del>	-		<b></b> -			-	<del> </del>
SG337 DUP	6/3/94	3-4 ft	-	ND			<del> </del>		<del>                                     </del>	├	-	<b></b>		<del>                                     </del>	<del>                                     </del>	$\vdash$	<del> </del>
SG338	6/3/94	3-4 ft	0	ND	7.9		<u> </u>		<u> </u>	<del>                                      </del>	-	_					<del> </del>
SG339	6/3/94	3-4 ft	0		8.1			_	-	<del> </del>	<del> </del>		<del>                                     </del>	<del> </del>		<del>                                     </del>	_
SG340	6/3/94	3-4 ft	0		< .1			-	<u> </u>	-			<del> </del>				$\vdash$
SG341	6/3/94	3-4 ft	0	ND	_			<b></b>	<del> </del>			ļ	<b></b>	-		<del>                                     </del>	<del> </del>
SG342	6/3/94	3-4 ft	0	ND			<del>                                     </del>		<del>                                     </del>	$\vdash$			<u> </u>	<del>                                     </del>		<del>                                     </del>	<del>                                     </del>
SG343	6/3/94	3-4 ft	0	ND			<del>                                     </del>	1	<u> </u>	<del>                                     </del>	-	<b></b>	<u> </u>	<del> </del>		<del>                                     </del>	<del> </del>
SG344	6/3/94	3-4 ft	0	ND				<del> </del>	<del> </del>		<del></del>	ļ					<del>                                     </del>
SG345	6/3/94	3-4 ft	0	ND			<del> </del>		<del> </del>	<del> </del>	<del></del>						<del> </del>
SG346	6/3/94	3-4 ft	0	ND	<b></b> -		-		<b>-</b>			<u> </u>			-	<del> </del> -	<del> </del>
SG347	6/3/94	3-4 ft	0	ND				<u> </u>	<del> </del>		-		<del></del>	-		<u> </u>	<del>                                     </del>
SG348	6/4/94	6-8 ft	0	ND				<del>                                     </del>	<u> </u>					_			<del>                                     </del>
SG349	6/4/94	6-8 ft	0	ND	<b></b> -	<del> </del>			<b></b>	<u> </u>		-	<b>-</b>		-	<del> </del>	<del> </del>
ISG350	6/4/94	6-8 ft	0	ND					1	<del>                                     </del>		<del>                                     </del>	$\vdash$	-		<u> </u>	$\vdash$
SG351	6/4/94	6-8 ft	0		<	<b></b> -						_					<del>                                     </del>
SG352	6/4/94	6-8 ft	0	ND				<b>†</b>		1	<u> </u>					<b> </b>	<del></del>
SG353	6/4/94	6-8 ft	0		<		İ	<del> </del>	1			<b>†</b> —	-				
SG354	6/6/94	6-8 ft	0	1	~												$\vdash$
SG355	6/6/94	6-8 ft	0	<b>-</b>	18.2		<b>†</b>		<u> </u>	<del>                                     </del>	<u> </u>					ļ	
SG356	6/6/94	6-8 ft	0		10									<u> </u>			
SG357	6/6/94	6-8 ft	0	<u> </u>	<												
SG358A	6/6/94	3-4 ft	0	ND							· · · · ·						
SG358B	6/6/94	6-8 ft	0	ND													
SG359	6/6/94	6-8 ft	0		43												
SG360	6/6/94	6-8 ft	0	1	<												
SG361	6/6/94	6-8 ft	0		<											<u> </u>	
1SG362	6/6/94	6-8 ft	0		<												
SG363	6/6/94	6-8 ft	0		5.6												
SG364	6/7/94	6-8 ft	0		30												
SG365	6/7/94	6-8 ft	0		20												
SG365 DUP	6/7/94	6-8 ft	0		24												
SG366	6/7/94	6-8 ft	0		23												
SG367	6/7/94	6-8 ft	0		<												
SG368	6/7/94	6-8 ft	0		<												
SG369	6/7/94	6-8 ft	0		<												
SG370	7/6/94	6-8 ft	_0		97	$L^{-}$			<u></u>								
ISG371	6/7/94	6-8 ft	0		<												
SG372	6/7/94	6-8 ft	0		6.5												

## TABLE 3-1 Results For Field Volatile Organics Screening - Soil Gas

#### Beloit Corporation - Blackhawk Facility Remedial Investigation / Feasibility Study - Phase II

						1	Alkene	8	•		Alkane	s		Α	romati	cs	
Sample ID	Sample Date	Depth	PID Units		PCE	TCE	1,1-DCE	trans-1,2-DCE	cis-1,2-DCE	1,1,1-TCA	1,1-DCA	1,2-DCA	Benzene	Toluene	Ethyl Benzene	M+P Xylene	O-Xylene
SG373	6/7/94	6-8 ft	0		7.5												
SG374	6/7/94	6-8 ft	0		٧												
SG375	6/7/94	6-8 ft	0		14												
SG376	6/7/94	6-8 ft	0		14												
SG377	6/7/94	6-8 ft	0		6.5												
SG377 DUP	6/7/94	6-8 ft	0		7.1												
SG378	6/7/94	6-8 ft	0		6.3												
SG379	6/7/94	6-8 ft	0		<												
SG380	6/7/94	6-8 ft	0		<												
SG380DUP	6/7/94	6-8 ft	0		<b>'</b>												
SG381	6/7/94	6-8 ft	0		<b>'</b>												
Equipment Blk.	6/7/94	-	0	ND													

This table presents the results of the field Gas Chromatograph (GC) volatile organic compound analysis of soil gas samples collected June 3-7, 1994 at the Beloit Corporation Blackhawk Facility.

Results are presented in mass to volume units of ug/L.

PID readings were taken with a Thermo Environmental Instruments model 580B Organic Vapor Monitor (OVM). Samples were collected in Tedlar bags from the OVM exit port.

ND indicates target compounds were not detected at a concentration greater than the method reporting limit of 5 ug/L.

< Indicates the compound was present at a concentration less than the method reporting limit.

Concentrations greater than 50 times the method reporting limit indicate a dilution was required for the sample.

All data generated by field GC is considered as tentatively identified, with concentration being estimated.

# Table 3-2 Results For Field Volatile Organics Screening - Soil Borings

## Beloit Corporation - Blackhawk Facility Remedial Investigation / Feasibility Study - Phase II

					Al	kenes				Alkane	es		A	romati	cs	
									<u> </u>			<del></del>				
Sample ID	Depth	PID Units		PCE	TCE	1,1-DCE	trans-1,2-DCE	cis-1,2-DCE	1,1,1-TCA	1,1-DCA	1,2-DCA	Benzene	Toluene	Ethyl Benzene	M+P Xylene	O-Xylene
W23 (1:5) (1)	GW	-		3500												
W22 GW (2)	GW	-	ND													
W22B GW (2)	GW	-	ND													
W22C GW (2)	GW	-	ND				•	:								
SB22	3-5 ft	0	ND				• • • • • • •	!		•	•					
SB22	8-10 ft	0	ND													
SB22	13-14 ft	0			•			+	1	,	•				<	
SB22C	8-10 ft	0	ND													
SB22C	13-15 ft	0	ND						1					•		
SB22C	20-22 ft	0	ND						1	•	•					
SB22C	24-26 ft	0	ND						1	•				•		
SB22C	28-30 ft	0	ND							•				•	<del>-</del>	
SB22C GW	GW			6.0					<b>†</b>		5.8			•		
SB23	4-6 ft	0	ND	0.0					<b>†</b>							
SB23	10-12 ft	0	ND						t	•	<del>.</del>				·•	
SB23	15-17 ft	0	ND					•	<del>                                     </del>	<del>!</del>						
SB23	20-21.5 ft	0	ND						· <b></b>	1	•				•	
SB23	21.5-23.5 ft	0	ND				·		<u> </u>	!	+					
SB23	25-27 ft	0	ND				-	•		<del></del>						
SB23 GW	GW	-	110		<del></del>			•		<del>†</del>	11					
SB24	6-8 ft	0	ND		<u> </u>		<del></del>			-	- * *				×-	
SB24	10-12 ft	0	ND		· · · · · · · · ·						•	<b>-</b>				
SB24	15-17 ft	0	ND					+		•					·	
SB24	23-25 ft	0	ND					•			•					
SB24 GW (1:3)	GW		שוו	17			!	•——	+	•	<del></del>					
SB25	4-6 ft	0	ND	17_				-	$\vdash$				_			
SB25	10-12 ft	0	ND					<del>                                     </del>	<u> </u>		-					
SB25	15-16 ft	0	ND		+		·	•	<del> </del>	•				·		
SB25	13-10 ft 18-20 ft	$-\frac{0}{0}$	ND		-		·	<del> </del>	<b>!</b>		•			•	<del>-</del>	
SB25	22-24 ft	0	ND				•									
SB25 GW	+		ND	144					<del> </del>	•	•				· · · <b></b>	
SB25 GW	GW 2.5.6	0	ND	144_	_ <			<	├				-			
	3-5 ft 8-10 ft			<del>-</del>					1							
SB26	+	0	ND		·		·	•	-	•	·				·	
SB26	14-16 ft	0	ND						<del></del>		· ·					
SB26	18-20 ft	0		<				• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	-	•	<del>.</del>					
SB26	23-25 ft	0	<b>-</b>	<				•						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
SB26 GW	GW		<u> </u>	220_		<del></del> -			<u> </u>							
SB27	4-6 ft	0	ND			<del>-</del>				· <del></del>	•					
SB27	10-12 ft	0	ND					•	<del> </del>	·	•	ļ				
SB27	15-17 ft	0	ND						<del> </del>	<u>.</u>	<b>-</b>				· · · ·	
SB27A	19-21 ft	0	ND		<del> </del>				ļ							
SB27A	23-25 ft	0	ND	ļ					ļ	<u>.</u>						
SB27A GW	GW			39					<b> </b>	<del>-</del>	<b>.</b>	ļ			·	
SB27A GW RE	GW	-		37					1	1						

mdux/jobs/10024910/chem/FGC-SB1.XLS JAH/jah/CAW

12/1/94

# Table 3-2 Results For Field Volatile Organics Screening - Soil Borings

## Beloit Corporation - Blackhawk Facility Remedial Investigation / Feasibility Study - Phase II

					A	lkenes			<u> </u>	Alkane	es .	<u> </u>	A	romati	cs	
	T														<u>,-</u>	
Sample ID	Depth	PID Units		PCE	TCE	1,1-DCE	trans-1,2-DCE	cis-1,2-DCE	1,1,1-TCA	1,1-DCA	1,2-DCA	Benzene	Toluene	Ethyl Benzene	M+P Xylene	O-Xylene
SB28 GW	GW									<	11			<del></del>		
SB29 GW	GW									•	5.5		•			•
SB30	4-6 ft	0		<	•						:					
SB30	10-12 ft	0		50	•									•	•	•
SB30	17-19 ft	0		71		•		•							•	*
SB30	20-22 ft	0		68	•	•	•	:			<del></del>			•	•	•
SB30	24-26 ft	0		40	•	•								•	•	•
SB30	30-32 ft	0		<	•	•	•	•			•					
SB30	34-36 ft	0		<	•			*	<u> </u>		<del></del>			•	•	
SB30 GW	GW	-		90	<	•		<			<			<b>-</b>	•	÷·····
SB31	10-11 ft	0	ND						† — —						•	
SB32	5-7 ft	0	ND		•		•							-		
SB32	9-11 ft	0	ND		•	:										
SB32	15-17 ft	0	ND			1	•	•		•	•	<u></u>		•		
SB32	21-23 ft	0		16		<del></del> -	:		1					*	• • •	
SB32	25-27 ft	0	ND			-	·								•	
SB32	29-31 ft	0	ND			-		;		•						
SB32	35-37 ft	0	ND				:							*	•	•
SB32 GW	GW	-		<			1	•		•	•			+		
SB33	4-6 ft	0	ND				i	•								
SB33	10-12 ft	0	ND		•			•		•				•		
SB33	14-16 ft	0	ND			1		•		-				•		
SB33	20-22 ft	0	ND				1	•			•			•	•	
SB33	24-26 ft	0	· · · · · ·	51		1	<del>:</del>					i - · · · -	•		•	•
SB33	30-32 ft	0		<	•	:				•						
SB33 GW (1:5)	GW	-		180	<		<del></del>	120	<u> </u>							
SB34	3-5 ft	0	ND													
SB34	8-10 ft	0	ND			:		•		•		<b></b>		•	•	• • • • • • •
SB34	13-15 ft	0	ND						ļ						•	•
SB34	18-20 ft	0	ND		•		:						:			•
SB34	25-27 ft	0	ND			-	1									•
SB34 GW	GW	-		29	•	•			İ				•	•	•	
SB35	3-5 ft	0	ND								•			•	•	
SB35	8-10 ft	0		54												-
SB35	13-15 ft	0		43				•——		•						
SB35	22-24	0		29	•	•									-	
SB35	30-32	0		110	•		•		İ				• • • • •		•	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
SB36	3-5 ft	0	ND			<del>,, -, -, ,</del>	•	******	1							
SB36A	8-10 ft	0	ND		•		!	•	-	•					•	
SB36A	13-15 ft	0	ND		•	•		•	1							
SB36A	18-20 ft	0		<		•	:					İ		-		
SB36A	23-25 ft	0		<			•		<u> </u>		•					
SB36A GW	GW	-	l	320			•			•	•					
SB37	5-7 ft	0	ND	-20												
1000	1 2 , 10			L					1			L				

mdux/jobs/10024910/chem/FGC-SB1.XLS JAH/jah/CAW

12/1/94

# Table 3-2 Results For Field Volatile Organics Screening - Soil Borings

#### Beloit Corporation - Blackhawk Facility Remedial Investigation / Feasibility Study - Phase II

					A	lkene	3		1	Alkane	S		A	romati	cs	
Sample ID	Depth	PID Units		ьсе	TCE	1,1-DCE	trans-1,2-DCE	cis-1,2-DCE	1,1,1-TCA	1,1-DCA	1,2-DCA	Benzene	Toluene	Ethyl Benzene	M+P Xylene	O-Xylene
SB37	5-7 ft	0	ND													
SB37	8-10 ft	0	ND													
SB37	13-15 ft	0	ND													
SB37	20-22 ft	0	ND								}					
SB37	23-25 ft	0		<												
SB37	28-30 ft	0		<												
SB37	34-36 ft	0		80												
SB37 GW	GW	-		1700												
SB38	3-5 ft	0		<												
SB38	8-10 ft	0	ND													
SB38	13-15 ft	0	ND													
SB38	18-20 ft	0	ND													
SB38	23-25 ft	0	ND													
SB38 GW	GW	-		12							<					
W01R	9-11 ft	0	ND													

This table presents the results of the field Gas Chromatograph (GC) volatile organic compound analysis of soil boring samples collected June 8-26, 1994 at the Beloit Corporation Blackhawk Facility.

Results are presented in units of ug/L for waters and ug/kg for soils.

PID readings were taken with an Organic Vapor Monitor (OVM) using the headspace method.

ND indicates target compounds were not detected at a concentration greater than the method reporting limit of 15 ug/kg for soil samples.

< Indicates the compound was present at a concentration less than the method reporting limit.

Concentrations greater than 50 times the method reporting limit indicate a dilution was required for the sample.

All data generated by field GC is considered as tentatively identified, with concentration being estimated.

#### Footnotes:

- (1) A water sample was collected from well W23 during the boring program to give an indication of groundwater contaminant concentrations in the erection bay area.
- (2) Samples were collected from W22, W22A, and W22B to give an indication of groundwater contaminant concentrations in the southeastern part of the SYA.

# TABLE 3-3 Summary of Organic Compounds Detected in Soil Boring Samples

## Beloit Corporation - Blackhawk Facility Remedial Investigation / Feasibility Study - Phase II

			VOLA	TILES										SEM	IVOLAT	TILES							
	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	Tetrachloroethene	1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	2-Butanone	2-Hexanone	Toluene	bia(2-ethylbexyl)phthalate	Acenaphthene	Anthracene	Benzo(a)anthracene	Benzo(a)pyrene	Benzo(b)fluoranthene	Benzo(g.h.i)perylene	Berzo(k)fluoranthene	Carbazole	Chrysene	Dibenzofuran	Fluorauthene	Fluorene	Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	Phenanthrene	Pyrene	Total PAHs
Beloit Corporation Plant																							
BC-SSSB22C-08	1																	43			'	39	82
BC-SSSB23-10								150	250	870	1,000	900	830	950	140	1,200	66	1,600	130	780	1,000	1,100	10,966
BC-SSSB23-22 (1)		3																					R
BC-SSSB24-10																		38			57		95
BC-SSSB30-17		76			-																		
BC-SSSB30-20		20																					
BC-SSSB32-09		1																					
BC-SSSB32-21		39																					
BC-SSSB33-10		2																					
BC-SSSB33-24		111	4																				
BC-SSSB34-08												42				37		39					118
BC-SSSB35-13		170																					
BC-SSSB35-13D		195																					
BC-SSSB35-30		433					64																
BC-SSSB36A-08																							R
BC-SSSB37-08		3																					R
BC-SSSB37-34		160																					R
Foundary Sand Disposal A	rea																						
BC-SSSB28-25				8	4																		R
BC-SSSB28-32																							R
BC-SSSB29-28	2					1																	R
Storage Yard Area																							
BC-SSSB26-08							L	L															R
BC-SSSB27-10		1																					
BC-SSSB38-03		3																					R
BC-SSSB38-08																							R

This table presents a summary of TCL organics detected in soil boring samples collected in Phase II of the Beloit Corporation RI/FS. Refer to Appendix C for analytical reports. Results are presented in units of ug/kg.

A blank indicates the compound was not detected in that sample.

R = SVOC results qualified unusable.

#### Footnotes:

- (1) Sample depth 21.5 to 23.5 ft.
- (2) See sample qualifier in App. C1, page 5 (detected compounds qualified estimated, not detected qualified unusable).

# TABLE 3-4 Summary of Metals Detected in Soil Boring Samples

#### Beloit Corporation - Blackhawk Facility Remedial Investigation / Feasibility Study - Phase II

SAMPLEID	Aluminum	Arsenic	Barium	Beryllium	Calcium	Chromium, tota	Cobalt	Copper	Iron	Lead	Magnesium	Manganese	Nickel	Potassium	Vanadium	Zinc
Beloit Corporation	Plant			***												
BC-SSSB22C-08	1430	1.2	10.5		44700	5.6	1.5	7.3	3230	4.3	26100	119		244		
BC-SSSB23-10	1420	1.6	8.7		98300	2.7	2.1	7.7	4360	1.6	52000	179		190	6.8	
BC-SSSB23-22 (1)	3690	1.2	20		86000	7.6		10.8	7520	25.5	40600	215		658	13.5	45.2
BC-SSSB24-10	1790		8.7		81100	2.9	2.4	13.3	5400	1.5	44500	176			9.1	
BC-SSSB25-10	1160	1.4	6.2		82000	2.8	2.1		3450	1.2	41000	137			5.6	
BC-SSSB30-17	2320	1.6	11.1	0.11	95000	5.8	3.4	10.8	6680	2.3	42700	191			10	35.1
BC-SSSB30-20	4500		21.8	0.15	61000	7.4	5.1	13.4	7810	3.3	36700	196			22.9	37.7
BC-SSSB32-09	1670		8.5		105000	2.7	2.3		4880	1.2	62900	187			8.3	
BC-SSSB32-21	4600		20.7	0.22	68300	8.6	4.8	18	10400	3.9	41100	245		763	20.8	41.9
BC-SSSB33-10	1660	2.3	12.5	0.17	129000	5.9	3.2	11.4	9100	2.8	75300	534			11	25.4
BC-SSSB33-24	3770	1.5	31.4	0.21	84900	8	6.4	15.7	14900	3.3	40000	629	15.7	671	20.6	30.1
BC-SSSB34-08	1730	1.1	9.3	0.1	126000	2.3		7.8	3730	1.7	75900	171		188	7.9	
BC-SSSB35-13	2070	1.3	12	0.13	112000	2.7	2.8	12.6	5160	1.6	68300	182		320	8.5	
BC-SSSB35-30	2820	2	15.8	0.11	74600	5.7	3.3	9	6980	3.5	36400	217		422	12	
BC-SSSB36A-08	1860	1.1	10.2		85900	4.9		6.1	5390	17.5	48900	213		314	8.4	
BC-SSSB37-08	11600	4.4	74.1	1.1	47000	19.4		12	17400	7.5	28800	554		622	29.1	36.7
BC-SSSB37-34	3220	2.4	14.5		102000	3.6		9.2	7090	3.4	47700	224		644	11.5	<u> </u>
BC-SSSB38-03	2620	1.2	32		120000	3.8		8	9300	1.8	68800	268		591	12.8	<u></u>
BC-SSSB38-08	1240	1	5.4		65800	3.1		5.1	3800	1.4	27300	137		242	6.4	
Foundary Sand Dis																1
BC-SSSB28-25	862		5.9		28100	4.1		3.2	2340	_	16300	106		202	2.9	
BC-SSSB28-32	7500	3.3	37.4	1	93800	10.2		14.5	12800	7.3		306		1340		
BC-SSSB29-28	1460	0.67	7.5		38700	6.1		4.9	5410	1.4	18200	95.8		279	8.5	
Storage Yard Area																
BC-SSSB26-08	1750	1.1	11.9		113000	5.1		8.9	4320			238	<u> </u>	351	8.1	
BC-SSSB27-10	1920		9.8		63500	3.7	2.4		5110	1.9	29600	138		-	8.7	16.6

This table presents a summary of TCL metals detected in soil boring samples collected in Phase II of the Beloit Corporation RI/FS.

Results are presented in units of mg/kg. A blank indicates the compound was not detected in that sample. Refer to Appendix C for complete analytical reports.

#### Footnotes:

(1) Depth sample collected from is 21.5 to 23.5 ft.

# TABLE 3-5 Results For Field Volatile Organics Screening - Groundwater Quality Borings

				Α	lkenes				Alkane	S		A	romati	cs	
Sample ID	Depth		PCE	TCE	1,1-DCE	trans-1,2-DCE	cis-1,2-DCE	1,1,1-TCA	1,1-DCA	1,2-DCA	Benzene	Toluene	Ethyl Benzene	M+P Xylene	O-Xylene
W30C GW	39 ft		200	<			13			9.6					
W30C GW	49 ft		47				12			18					
W30C GW	54 ft	ND													
W30C GW	59 ft	ND													
W30C GW	69 ft	ND													
W31C GW	39 ft	ND													
W31C GW	49 ft		85		<					8.1					
W31C GW	59 ft		26							<					
W31C GW	69 ft	ND													
W32C GW	34 ft	ND													
W32C GW	39 ft	ND													
W32C GW	49 ft	ND													
W32C GW	59 ft	ND													
W32C GW	69 ft	ND													
W32C GW	79_ft	ND													
W33C GW	29 ft		9.8												
W33C GW	39 ft		240		12					80					
W33C GW	49 ft		5.2												
W33C GW	56 ft	ND													
W33C GW	69 ft	ND													
W34C GW	34 ft		20												
W34C GW	39 ft		19												
W34C GW	49 ft		7.3		<					7.5					
W34C GW	59 ft									<					
W34C GW	69 ft	ND													
W34C GW	79 ft	ND													
W34C GW	84 ft	ND						L			<u> </u>				
W35C GW	34 ft		5.3								Ĺ				
W35C GW	39 ft	ND									<u></u>				
W35C GW	49 ft	ND									L				
W35C GW	59 ft		<							8.7	<u> </u>				
W35C GW	69 ft				9.0					47					
W35C GW	79 ft	ND													
W35C GW	85 ft	ND									<u></u>				

# TABLE 3-5 Results For Field Volatile Organics Screening - Groundwater Quality Borings

### Beloit Corporation - Blackhawk Facility Remedial Investigation / Feasibility Study - Phase II

				Α	lkene	3			Alkand	s		A	romati	cs	
Sample ID	Depth		PCE	TCE	1,1-DCE	trans-1,2-DCE	cis-1,2-DCE	1,1,1-TCA	1,1-DCA	1,2-DCA	Benzene	Toluene	Ethyl Benzene	M+P Xylene	O-Xylene
W36C GW	29 ft		680												
W36C GW	39 ft		2000			-									
W36C GW	49 ft		8.6				<								8
W36C GW	59 ft									<					
W36C GW	70.5 ft									7.9					
W36C GW	77.5 ft	ND													

This table presents the results of the field Gas Chromatograph (GC) volatile organic compound analysis of groundwater quality boring samples collected June 26 to July 11, 1994 at the Beloit Corporation Blackhawk Facility.

Results are presented in ug/L.

ND indicates target compounds were not detected at a concentration greater than the method reporting limit of 5 ug/L for water samples.

< Indicates the compound was present at a concentration less than the method reporting limit.

Concentrations greater than 50 times the method reporting limit indicate a dilution was required for the sample.

All data generated by field GC is considered as tentatively identified, with concentration being estimated.

### **TABLE 3-6**

### Summary of Organic Compounds Detected in Groundwater Quality Boring Samples

## Beloit Corporation - Blackhawk Facility Remedial Investigation / Feasibility Study - Phase II

SAMPLEID	Tetrachloroethene	1,1,1-Trichloroethane
BC-GWW36C-39	2500	
BC-GWW36C-70 (1)		7
BC-GWW36C-78 (2)		
BC-GWTB01-01		

This table presents a summary of TCL organics detected in a groundwater quality boring sample collected in Phase II of the Beloit Corporation RI/FS.

Results are presented in units of ug/L. A blank indicates the compound was not detected in that sample.

Refer to Appendix C for complete analytical reports.

#### Footnotes:

- (1) Depth sample collected from is 70.5 ft.
- (2) Depth sample collected from is 77.5 ft.

# TABLE 3-7 Summary of Organic Compounds Detected in Surface Soil Samples

## Beloit Corporation - Blackhawk Facility Remedial Investigation / Feasibility Study - Phase II

	VOC								S	VOCs								Ī	PEST	/PCB	s
	Toluene	Acenaphthene	Anthracene	Benzo(a)anthracene	Benzo(a)pyrene	Benzo(b)fluoranthene	Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	Berzo(k)fluoranthene	Carbazole	Chrysene	Dibenzofuran	Fluoranthene	Fluorene	Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	Phenanthrene	Pyrene	Total PAHs	Aldrin	4,4'-DDT	Aroclor-1254	Aroclor-1260
Storage Yard Area																	-				
BC-SSSB26-00														ļ						54	
BC-SSSB27-00																		1.8		39	
BC-SSSSS01-01										47		59				47	153				
Foundary Sand Dis	posal A	rea																			
BC-SSSSS02-01	2	230	460	1,000	1,000		540	1,400	160	1,400	95	2,400	190	570	1,600	1,800	12,845				
BC-SSSSS03-01	6		69	580	840	900	770	840		940		960		700	310	760	7,669				42
BC-SSSSS04-01				38	46	47		52		65		83	L			67	398				
BC-SSSSS04-01D										41		45				40	126				
BC-SSSSS05-01				150	240	350	170	240		240		220		180	60	190	2,040				
BC-SSSSS06-01		170	270	670	610	630	280	510	190	970	60	1,900	150	320	1,200	1,300	9,230				
Fiberous Sludge Sp	reading	g Area	1																		
BC-SSSSS07-01																			3.2		. 1
BC-SSSSS07-01D																			2.3		
BC-SSSSS08-01																					
BC-SSSSS09-01																					
BC-SSSSS10-01																				100	
BC-SSSSS11-01																				42	

This table presents a summary of TCL organics detected in surface soil samples collected in Phase II of the Beloit Corporation RI/FS.

Results are presented in units of ug/kg. A blank indicates the compound was not detected in that sample. Background surface soil samples were not analyzed for TCL parameters.

Refer to Appendix C for complete analytical reports.

TABLE 3-8
Summary of Metals Detected in Surface Soil Samples

#### Beloit Corporation - Blackhawk Facility Remedial Investigation / Feasibility Study - Phase II

			1 .	1	-			<u> </u>		i			-			Ì		i	Ţ	1	
	g g	į		į	Ę	<b>E</b>	:	um, to	:	:			:	uni	386	!	>.	Ę	E	Ē	
	Վևտուուսո	Antimony		Barium	ryllium	Ē	alcium	Chromi	Cobalt	Соррет	anide	_ :	P	₩ ₩	angar	ke.	5	Potassii	Selenium	anadiun	ပ
SAMPLE ID	Alu	Ā	A.	Ваг	Ber	C <b>a</b>	Cal	콩	٠ ت	Š	C	Lou	Lead	δ	X.	Nickel	Me	Pot	Sel	Var	Zinc
Background		:							1				1		1			Ī			
BC-SSSSS12-01	470	0	2.1	98.6	1	0.82	2220	7.3	2.7	7.5	i	7790	23.1	948	510	7.4				14	40.6
BC-SSSSS13-01	346	o o	1.4	47.6	•	i	1170	4.1	1	4.9		4340	19.9	584	229	2.6			:	7.9	23.4
BC-SSSSS14-01	411	0	3.9	74.2	1	:	2450	5.2	2.2	6.3		4960	19.1	1180	270	4.1			i	9.7	21.4
BC-SSSSS15-01	479	o <sup>'</sup>	1.6	73	•		1160	6.1	2.4	5.3		6300	18.2	866	310	4.2			:	12.1	24.6
BC-SSSSS16-01	810	0	2.4	93.6			1900	10.3	4.5	8.9		11200	16.5	1450	500	7.4	_		i	19.6	34.1
Average Bkgrd	503	2	2.3	77	!	0.82	1780	6.6	3.0	6.6	-	6918	19.4	1006	364	5.1			Ī	12.7	28.8
Avg. + 2SD	862	4	4.3	118	İ		2969	11.4	5.1	9.9		12391	24.2	1660	628	9.5		i		21.7	45.2
Storage Yard Area		1			i				i					i							
BC-SSSB26-00	49	6,	0.9	9.8	0.21		198000	1.9	1.6			3320	8.8	129000	297			202	į	3.8	19.7
BC-SSSB27-00	727	0	3.1	110	0.48	1	1320	9.2	5.4	8.7		10700	13.2	1170	605			269	0.35	20.2	39.2
BC-SSSSS01-01	52	o	0.93	6	0.23	į	203000	2.4		5.7		3420	10.8	131000	261			232	1	4.3	20.9
Foundary Sand Dispos	al Area						1	İ		i	i		1								
BC-SSSSS02-01	266	0 7.	8 0.45	20.5	0.29		131000	63.2	4.2	1550	i	9580	12.3	82000	292	65.9		452	i	8.7	130
BC-SSSSS03-01	561	0	2.1	92.6	0.4		6130	13.9	4.5	11.7		7970	11.9	2980	542	12.2		578	:	13.8	38.6
BC-SSSSS04-01	579	0	2.2	73.1	0.34	1	10700	7.3	4.7	7.3		8340	9.7	6630	410			387		15.2	31.9
BC-SSSSS04-01D	626	0	2.1	73.6	0.29		11200	9.2	3.4	7.5	0.62	8070	12.8	5780	368			367	0.29	14.9	43.9
BC-SSSSSSS5-01	632	0 8.	7 2.9	63.3	0.33		6770	12.8	4.4	7.3	0.81	9280	7.7	3460	389	14.9		261	i	16.2	22.7
BC-SSSSS06-01	1110	0	5.1	75.6	0.53	i	5680	13.7	6.9	10.7		15700	9.3	4160	521	14.3		522	:	26.2	31
Fiberous Sludge Sprea	ding Area		1 .									i		į							$\neg$
BC-SSSSS07-01	696	0	2.1	126	0.42	i	2190	9.3	5.8	8.7	0.64	10200	13.4	1410	666			516	0.26	18.9	35.4
BC-SSSSS07-01D	805	0	2.6	128	0.48		2360	10.3	5.7	9.4		10700	12.9	1530	655			576		19.3	37.6
BC-SSSSS08-01	745	0	3.5	107	0.48	•	2040	10.6	5.4	9.5	0.94	11600	14.1	1410	628	12.4		445	0.31	21.6	33.6
BC-SSSSS09-01	830	0	3.8	118	0.62		2090	12	6.4	9.3	0.71	12300	17.8	1440	675	,		548	•	23	36.7
BC-SSSSS10-01	400	0	1.6	41.1	0.29	0.56	22700	6.3	3.9	12.1	!	6140	6.9	13400	231	:	0.16	316		12.7	28.4
BC-SSSSS11-01	678	0	2.6	82.2	0.46	•	13700	10.6	5.8	13.6		10200	11.1	7920	491	,	0.39	446		20.2	39.7

This table presents a summary of TCL metals detected in surface soil samples collected in Phase II of the Beloit Corporation RI/FS.

Results are presented in units of mg/kg. A blank indicates the compound was not detected in that sample. Refer to Appendix C for complete analytical reports.

Bolded values indicate an exceedance of the Avg.+2SD value for background samples. Validated background data for beryllium and potassium were qualified undetected (with elevated detection limits) due to detects found in the laboratory blanks. The unvalidated beryllium background data had an average concentration of 0.29 mg/kg (Avg.+2SD = 0.58 mg/kg). The unvalidated potassium background data had an average concentration of 439 mg/kg (Avg.+2SD = 712 mg/kg). Both selenium and antimony had some values qualified as unusable due to unacceptable QC.

# TABLE 3-9 Summary of Organic Compounds Detected in Groundwater

			Alk	anes	····		Alke	nes		_			SVOC	٦ς		PEST/	PCBs
	<b> </b>		<u> </u>	uics .			ATIKU				$\vdash$		1			1 200 17	. 003
	Screen placement	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	1,1-Dichloroethane	1,2-Dichloroethane	Chloromethane	Tetrachloroethene	Trichloroethene	1,1-Dichloroethene	1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	Carbon disulfide	Phenol	bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	Di-n-butylphthalate	Dimethylphthalate	Diethylphthalate	Endrin aldehyde	Heptachlor
BC-GWG103D-01	Ι																
BC-GWG103D-02	I						4										
BC-GWG103S-01	S																
BC-GWG103S-02	S																
BC-GWG104-01	S	24	15			4	2							L			
BC-GWG104-02	S					3											
BC-GWG108D-01	I																
BC-GWG108D-02	I				18												
BC-GWG108D-92	I																
BC-GWG108S-02	S																
BC-GWG109-01	S	5	3														
BC-GWG109-02	S	5															
BC-GWG110-01	S										ļ		ļ	-		0.003	
BC-GWG110-91	S					-										0.003	
BC-GWG110-02	S		_														
BC-GWW01R-02	S									ļ			-	<u> </u>			
BC-GWW03R-01	S	6	1			5									********		
BC-GWW03R-02	S					8	_										
BC-GWW05R-01	I	45	7	_		12	3	3									
BC-GWW05R-02	I	34	3			33	9	·····	3								
BC-GWW12-01	S					-					ļ				<u> </u>		
BC-GWW12R-02	S			-						<del></del>	}—	<del>                                     </del>		-			
BC-GWW13-01	S			-													
BC-GWW13-02	S								,							0.004	
BC-GWW14-01	I															0.004	
BC-GWW14-02 BC-GWW15-01	S																
BC-GWW15-01 BC-GWW15-02	S																
BC-GWW15-02 BC-GWW16R-01	S																
BC-GWW16R-01 BC-GWW16R-02	S																
BC-GWW17-01	S			<del></del>									*******	******			
BC-GWW17-01 BC-GWW17-02	S														L		
BC-GWW17-02 BC-GWW18-01	D	4					24					**********	•	********			
BC-GWW18-91	D	4					20			<b> </b>	<u> </u>	<del>                                     </del>					-
BC-GWW18-91	D	8		-		L	36			· · -							

# TABLE 3-9 Summary of Organic Compounds Detected in Groundwater

			Alk	anes			Alke	nes				S	VOC	Cs .		PEST/	PCBs
		9							total)			nalate					
	Screen placement	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	1,1-Dichloroethane	1,2-Dichloroethane	Chloromethane	Tetrachloroethene	Trichloroethene	1,1-Dichloroethene	1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	Carbon disulfide	Phenol	bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	Di-n-butylphthalate	Dimethylphthalate	Diethylphthalate	Endrin aldehyde	Heptachlor
BC-GWW19-01	S	2															
BC-GWW19-02	S																
BC-GWW19B-01	I													 			
BC-GWW19B-02	I																
BC-GWW20R-01	S	16							9								
BC-GWW20R-02	S	8				24	12										
BC-GWW20B-01	I	8				6	5									0.002	
BC-GWW20B-02	I	20							33								
BC-GWW20B-91	I	19	1			1		1	10							0.003	
BC-GWW21-01	S	22	2			31	19										
BC-GWW21-02	S	17				90	23										
BC-GWW21B-01	I	25					2								1_	0.004	
BC-GWW21B-02	I	160					16	26									
BC-GWW22-01	S																
BC-GWW22-02	S																
BC-GWW22B-01	I									2						0.003	
BC-GWW22B-02	I																
BC-GWW22B-92	I																
BC-GWW22C-01	D															0.005	
BC-GWW22C-02	D																
BC-GWW23-01	S					3,000											
BC-GWW23-02	S					4,300											
BC-GWW23B-01	I	47		320		970	33						1		2		
BC-GWW23B-02	I					1,600	60		480								
BC-GWW25C-01	D	10					1										
BC-GWW25C-02	D	110				11	4	8									
BC-GWW26-02	S																
BC-GWW26-92	S																
BC-GWW26C-01	D	16					61						1	1	2		0.16
BC-GWW26C-02	D	50					160	3									
BC-GWW27-02	S																
BC-GWW28-02	S				11												
BC-GWW29-02	S																
BC-GWW31C-02	I	9				60			2								
BC-GWW32-02	S																

# TABLE 3-9 Summary of Organic Compounds Detected in Groundwater

## Beloit Corporation - Blackhawk Facility Remedial Investigation / Feasibility Study - Phase II

			Alk	anes			Alke	nes				S	VOC	S		PEST	PCBs
	Screen placement	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	1,1-Dichloroethane	1,2-Dichloroethane	Chloromethane	Tetrachloroethene	Trichloroethene	1,1-Dichloroethene	1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	Carbon disulfide	Phenol	bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	Di-n-butylphthalate	Dimethylphthalate	Diethylphthalate	Endrin aldehyde	Heptachlor
BC-GWW34-02	S					23											
BC-GWW34-92	S					20											
BC-GWW35C-02	I	40				3											
BC-GWW37-02	S																
BC-GWW38-02	S				81	370											
BC-GWW39-02	S					29											
BC-GWW40-02	S	7				6											
BC-GWW41-02	S	18				130					2						
Background Wells																	
BC-GWW08R-01	S																
BC-GWW08R-02	S																
BC-GWW11R-01	S																
BC-GWW11R-02	S																
BC-GWW11R-92	S																
BC-GWW24-01	S																
BC-GWW24-02	S																
BC-GWG107-01	S																
BC-GWG107-91	S																
BC-GWG107-02	S																
BC-GWFB01-01												4					
BC-GWFB02-01												7					
BC-GWFB03-01													2				

This table presents a summary of all organic compounds detected in groundwater samples collected in Phases I and II of the Beloit Corporation RI/FS. Both rounds are presented to allow comparison of results over time. Only those volatiles, semivolatiles, and pesticide/PCB compounds detected in at least one sample are included here.

Screen Placement indicates Shallow (S), Intermediate (I), or Deep (D) wells.

Results are presented in units of ug/L. A blank indicates the compound was not detected in that sample. Shading indicates the sample was not analyzed for that fraction. Refer to Appendix C for complete analytical reports.

j:/3856/0070/wp/tbl/99\_tbl10.xls ORACLE/djd/CAW 5/9/95

TABLE 3-10
Summary of Metals Detected in Groundwater

Beloit Corporation - Blackhawk Facility Remedial Investigation / Feasibility Study - Phase II

		1	1	1	1-		_		1	T.	· —	I	-	_	l		1		-	1	<u> </u>	T	<del>,</del>
Avg+2SD	Average	BC-GWG107-02	BC-GWW24-02	BC-GWW11R-92	BC-GWW11R-02	BC-GWW08R-02	Background	BC-GWW41-02	BC-GWW40-02	BC-GWW39-02	BC-GWW35C-02	BC-GWW34-02	BC-GWW32-02	BC-GWW31C-02	BC-GWW26-92	BC-GWW26-02	BC-GWW20B-02	BC-GWW15-02	BC-GWW14-02	BC-GWW12R-02	BC-GWW03R-02	BC-GWW01R-02	
		S	S	S	s	S		S	S	S	ı	S	S	_	S	s	I	S	1	S	S	S	Screen Placement
	60.7		60.7										126										Aluminum
																							Antimony
74.3	39.4	26.8	69.7	29.8	32.5	38.2		72.9	80.2	106	76.4	139	112	96.8	33.7	35.0	13.3	135	17.1	75.7	29.3	39.6	Barium
										2.4								5.8					Cadmium
134,632	96,880	73,100	126,000	94,700	96,300	94,300		75,200	69,200	86,100	90,800	128,000	91,500	94,400	69,800	69,300	71,500	153,000	78,600	70,100	72,400	92,900	Calcium
																		4.9					Cobalt
5.7	4.3	4.8				3.8						4.4		3.6		2.9				3.5	13.4		Соррег
669	273		47.9	347	423						33.0		217		26.2			32.4	28.3			33.3	Iron
61,780	43,300	39,400	59,800	39,200	39,800	38,300		23,600	41,900	32,500	48,200	66,200	46,700	45,700	32,600	32,700	34,700	68,500	30,100	28,600	31,300	42,400	Magnesium
110.4	55.0	34.4		75.6	82.0	28.1			36.7		28.2		30.4	30.8			24.1	39.7	15.3				Manganese
																					0.32		Mercury
913	330	98.9		573	591	56.4					8.6	35.7		13.2				304	251				Nickel
4,672	1,790		3,950	1,000	1,110	1,100		987	3,880	2,180	2,590	1,830	2,040	1,610	463	482		5,370	1,210	1,410		1,700	Potassium
177,297	66,708	6,050	6,490	104,000	104,000	113,000		6,690	10,300	8,710	14,900	56,000	5,530	19,800	3,530	3,250	2,170	298,000	30,600	4,780	8,340	34,200	Sodium
4.0	2.5			1.8	2.3	3.3											43.4	8.1		3.5	46.7		Zinc

This table presents a summary of metals detected in groundwater samples collected in Phase II of the Beloit Corporation RIFS.

Results are presented in units of ug/L. A blank indicates the compound was not detected in that sample. Refer to Appendix C for complete analytical reports.

TABLE 3-11
Summary of Indicator Parameters Detected in Groundwater

BC-GWG108D-01	BC-GWG104-01	BC-GWG103D-01	BC-GWW41-02	BC-GWW40-02	BC-GWW39-02	BC-GWW35C-02	BC-GWW34-02	BC-GWW32-02	BC-GWW31C-02	BC-GWW26C-01	BC-GWW26-92	BC-GWW26-02	BC-GWW25C-01	BC-GWW23B-01	BC-GWW23-01	BC-GWW22C-01	BC-GWW22B-01	BC-GWW22-01	BC-GWW21B-01	BC-GWW21-01	BC-GWW20B-91	BC-GWW20B-01	BC-GWW20R-01	BC-GWW19B-01	BC-GWW19-01	BC-GWW18-91	BC-GWW18-01	BC-GWW17-01	BC-GWW16R-01	BC-GWW15-02	BC-GWW15-01	BC-GWW14-01	BC-GWW13-01	BC-GWW12R-02	BC-GWW05R-01	BC-GWW03R-01	BC-GWW01R-02	
I	S	_	S	S	S	I	S	S	1	D	S	S	D	I	S	ם	Ι	S	I	S	I	I	S	I	S	D	D	S	S	S	S	1	S	S	I	S	S	Screen placement
			299	310	265	264	421	358	286		293	293																		356				275			340	Alkalinity, Bicarbonate
275	345	236	299	310	265	264	421	358	286	256	293	293	261	403	420	305	354	353	267	299	327	321	384	341	284	260	260	388	333	356	319	328	341	275	260	343	340	Alkalinity, Total
59.0	6.0	3.0	7.1	25.7	23.1	103.0	132.0	5.4	55.9	3.0			4.0	7.0	56.0			2.0	4.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	3.0	34.0	15.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	642.0	1100.0	71.0	3.0		5.0	2.0	69.6	Chloride
5.18	2.15	3.92								0.70			1.16	4.17	1.24	1.24	1.05	0.48	0.22	1.49	0.19	0.21	0.73	0.03	8.70	1.60	1.48	0.21	0.48		0.33	4.56	11.60		1.11	0.78		Nitrate+Nitrite Nitrogen
																																						Nitrogen, Ammonia
																0.012	0.02																					Phenolics, Total
34.0		24.0	9.6	25.0	61.4	28.2	76.0	33.6	72.6	45.0	13.8	14.1	33.0	30.0	134.0	25.0	24.0	23.0	25.0	17.0						43.0	47.0	25.0		56.8	56.0	28.0		26.3	30.0	20.0	20.9	Sulfate
454	406	286	344	384	428	600	786	448	504	332	319	321	502	<b>4</b> 70	776	340	384	382	306	324	348	346	396	412	360	336	328	384	338	1,400	2,410	512	432	313	298	346	496	Total Dissolved Solids

# TABLE 3-11 Summary of Indicator Parameters Detected in Groundwater

## Beloit Corporation - Blackhawk Facility Remedial Investigation / Feasibility Study - Phase II

	Screen placement	Alkalinity, Bicarbonate	Alkalinity, Total	Chloride	Nitrate+Nitrite Nitrogen	Nitrogen, Ammonia	Phenolics, Total	Sulfate	Total Dissolved Solids
BC-GWG109-01	S		322	24.0	0.65				384
BC-GWG110-01	S		332	40.0	1.37				418
BC-GWG110-91	S		328	40.0	1.47				412
<b>Background Wells</b>		·							
BC-GWW08R-01	S		272	86.0	1.25			19.0	<b>43</b> 0
BC-GWW08R-02	S	303	303	221.0				16.1	686
BC-GWW11R-01	S		259	59.0	1.83			25.0	370
BC-GWW11R-02	S	296	296	225.0				17.4	695
BC-GWW11R-92	S	298	298	224.0				17.3	675
BC-GWW24-01	S		452	12.0	5.01				520
BC-GWW24-02	S	500	500					49.4	584
BC-GWG107-01	S		355	31.0	1.58			27.0	408
BC-GWG107-91	S		353	30.0	1.46			28.0	414
BC-GWG107-02	S	358	358	6.2				25.6	400
Average		351	345	99	2.23			25.0	518
Avg+2SD		525	501	291	5.37			45.5	781

This table presents a summary of indicator parameters detected in groundwater samples collected in Phases I and II of the Beloit Corporation RI/FS. Both rounds are presented to allow comparison of results over time.

Results are presented in units of mg/L. A blank indicates the compound was not detected in that sample. Refer to Appendix C for complete analytical reports.

TABLE 3-12
Results For Private Well Volatile Organics Analyses - Phase I & II

Beloit Corporation - Blackhawk Facility Remedial Investigation / Feasibility Study

						ineu	lai IIIV	esuga	uon /	Feasib	inty 3	iuay	<del>,</del>		,		,		r	
Address	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	2 0.7			Chloromethane		Tetrachloroethene		Trichloroethene		1,1-Dichloroethene		Chloroform		1,4-Dichlorobenzene		Methylene chloride		Dichlorodifluoromethane	
908 Blackhawk							0.7													
916 Blackhawk	<del>                                     </del>	<del> </del>	0.7	<b></b>	1		<del>  •••</del>		<del> </del>	<del> </del>	<b></b> -	<del> </del>	<del>                                     </del>	<del>                                     </del>	<del>                                     </del>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	<del>                                     </del>
1012 Blackhawk	1 2	0.7	0.7		<del>                                     </del>		0.7	<b>†</b>	4	0.9	1	<del>                                     </del>	<u> </u>		†		†		<u> </u>	<del>                                     </del>
1102 Blackhawk	9	7	3	2	<del>                                     </del>			-	14	13	<u> </u>	1		<del> </del>		<b> </b>	ļ - · · · ·			_
1106 Blackhawk	<del></del>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>							<del></del>	<u> </u>			<b>-</b>		1	· · · -	_		14
1204 Blackhawk					1			<b>†</b>		<u> </u>		<b></b>	0.6		<b>†</b>	<del>                                     </del>	<b> </b>			
1208 Blackhawk	1		<u> </u>	· · · · · ·						†	· · · · ·	<b>†</b>	0.9	<b>†</b>						
1212 Blackhawk	1	<b>†</b>						<del>                                     </del>		<u> </u>		<u> </u>	1		<b>†</b>					
1220 Blackhawk			<b>†</b>					1		<u> </u>			2	5	1			_		
1310 Blackhawk	<u> </u>									ļ —			10	14						
1408 Blackhawk																				0.9
407 Dingman	1											1								9
410 Kile										ļ <del></del>			2	2	<b>1</b>					
416 Kile		0.9											<u> </u>					_		
905 Watts			· · · ·		0.9		0.5						2	1						
909 Watts	1					•	2	2					2	3						
910 Watts	19	15					29	95	0.5		2	2								
914 Watts	25	15					86	68			3	2			ĺ					
918 Watts	9	0.8					9	22			1									
1004 Watts	0.5							Ī												
1117 Watts	1		0.6						3											
1200 Watts		1																		
1314 Watts	0.8								0.5									0.5		
407 Central							1										[			
900 N. Prairie							<u> </u>								0.6					

This table presents all volatile organic compounds detected during Phase I and Phase II at the Beloit Corporation - Blackhawk Facility RI/FS Site. The left column for each compound represents Phase I data, the right column Phase II.

All results in ug/L. A blank indicates the compound was not detected at concentrations greater than the laboratory reporting limit.



